

# **ENFORCEMENT OF COMMERCIAL ARBITRAL AWARDS IN CHINA**

## **Business Laws of China**

Accepted by the Faculty of Law, on November 27, 2009,  
upon proposal of Prof. Pierre Tercier (first reporter) and  
Prof. Gianmaria Ajani (second reporter).

**By Clarisse von Wunschheim**

**WEST<sup>®</sup>**

A Thomson Reuters business

*For Customer Assistance Call 1-800-328-4880*

# **Table of Contents**

## **PART 1. INTRODUCTION TO THE RELEVANT CHINESE LEGAL ENVIRONMENT**

### **CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO THE CHINESE LEGAL SYSTEM AND INSTITUTIONS**

#### **I. OVERVIEW OF THE CHINESE LEGAL INSTITUTIONS AND THEIR ROLES**

##### **A. CHINA'S LEGAL SYSTEM AND INSTITUTIONS**

- § 1:1 Historical reminder
- § 1:2 China's legal institutions

##### **B. LEGISLATURE**

- § 1:3 Authorities with lawmaking power
- § 1:4 —NPC
- § 1:5 —State Council
- § 1:6 —Local People's Congresses and Standing Committees
- § 1:7 —Local People's governments
- § 1:8 Hierarchy of legislation

##### **C. JUDICIARY**

###### **1. Functioning of the Judiciary**

- § 1:9 Overview of the structure of the judiciary
- § 1:10 Internal organization of the courts
- § 1:11 Decision-making process
- § 1:12 Judges
- § 1:13 Lawyers

###### **2. Role of the Judiciary**

- § 1:14 Role of the Supreme People's Court
- § 1:15 —Interpretation of law
- § 1:16 —Supervision and administration of the lower courts
- § 1:17 —Administration of justice
- § 1:18 Role of local courts

###### **3. Jurisdiction and Supervision of the Courts**

- § 1:19 Jurisdiction of the courts

- § 1:20 —Territorial jurisdiction
- § 1:21 —Jurisdiction by level
- § 1:22 Judicial supervision
- § 1:23 —Informal supervision: Court-internal supervision
- § 1:24 —Formal supervision: Right of appeal

## **II. MAIN FEATURES OF CHINA'S LEGAL SYSTEM**

### **A. CIVIL LAW COUNTRY WITH CHINESE CHARACTERISTICS**

- § 1:25 Civil law country
- § 1:26 Chinese characteristics

### **B. UNITARY STATE WITH NO SEPARATION OF POWERS**

- § 1:27 Unitary state
- § 1:28 No separation of powers

### **C. LACK OF INDEPENDENCE OF THE COURTS**

- § 1:29 Scope of court independence according to the Constitution
- § 1:30 Common interferences

### **D. CHALLENGES AND ATTEMPTS TO REFORM THE JUDICIARY**

- § 1:31 Common challenges to the judiciary
- § 1:32 General reform attempts
- § 1:33 Specific reform attempts by the SPC
- § 1:34 Need for structural reforms

## **CHAPTER 2. INTRODUCTION TO THE GENERAL CHINESE ARBITRATION ENVIRONMENT**

### **I. CHINESE FRAMEWORK OF COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION**

#### **A. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND**

- § 2:1 Traditional approach to dispute resolution
- § 2:2 Birth and development of a dual track arbitration system from the early 1950s to the late 1970s
- § 2:3 Development of the arbitration system from the late 1970s to the mid 1990s

#### **B. APPLICABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

- § 2:4 Arbitration Law 1995

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:5 Other relevant major laws
- § 2:6 Relevant laws and regulations with regard to Greater China
- § 2:7 Relevant international conventions (overview)

### C. ARBITRATION COMMISSIONS AND THE CHINA ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

- § 2:8 Restructuring of arbitration commissions under the Arbitration Law 1995
- § 2:9 China Arbitration Association
- § 2:10 Major arbitration commissions
- § 2:11 Arbitration rules
- § 2:12 Fragile independence of arbitration commissions

### D. ROLE OF THE COURTS

- § 2:13 Court interpretations and provisions relating to arbitration
- § 2:14 Court intervention in the arbitration proceedings

## II. RELEVANT FEATURES OF CHINESE ARBITRATION

### A. DISTINCTION BETWEEN DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN-RELATED AWARDS

#### 1. Definition of “Foreign-Related”

- § 2:15 Article 305 of Civil Procedure Law 2008
- § 2:16 A comparison with the term “international” under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Model Law 2006

#### 2. Practical Implications of the Distinction

- § 2:17 Practical implications of the distinction with regard to arbitration commissions
- § 2:18 Practical implications of the distinction with regard to forum selection
- § 2:19 Practical implications of the distinction with regard to choice of applicable law
  - § 2:20 —Substantive law
  - § 2:21 —Lex arbitri
  - § 2:22 —Law applicable to the arbitration agreement
  - § 2:23 —Procedural rules
- § 2:24 Practical implications of the distinction with regard to judicial review of arbitral awards
  - § 2:25 —Prior reporting system
  - § 2:26 —Different grounds for review

#### 3. Greater China: Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan

- § 2:27 Are Greater China awards “foreign-related”?

§ 2:28 Limited practical implications

## B. PREDOMINANCE OF INSTITUTIONAL ARBITRATION

### 1. No Ad Hoc Arbitration

§ 2:29 Implicit prohibition

§ 2:30 Practical implications

### 2. No Competence-Competence Doctrine

§ 2:31 Implicit rejection of the competence-competence principle

§ 2:32 —Article 5 of Arbitration Law 1995

§ 2:33 —Article 19 of Arbitration Law 1995

§ 2:34 —Article 20 of Arbitration Law 1995

§ 2:35 Consequences of the lack of competence-competence

§ 2:36 Recent developments

### 3. Relationship Between the Arbitral Tribunal and the Arbitration Commission

§ 2:37 Predominant position of arbitration commissions

§ 2:38 Choice of arbitrators under control of the arbitration commission

§ 2:39 Role of the arbitration institution with regard to interim measures

### 4. Limited Access for Foreign Arbitration Institutions

§ 2:40 Concept of “arbitration commission” under the Arbitration Law 1995

§ 2:41 Implications for foreign arbitration institutions

## C. LIMITED PARTY AUTONOMY

§ 2:42 Principle of party autonomy under the Arbitration Law 1995

§ 2:43 Limits to party autonomy

## D. ROLE OF CONCILIATION

§ 2:44 Interaction between conciliation and arbitration

§ 2:45 —Conciliation within arbitration proceedings

§ 2:46 —Conciliation outside arbitration proceedings

§ 2:47 Popularity of conciliation in arbitration

## **CHAPTER 3. INTRODUCTION TO THE CHINESE FRAMEWORK OF ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARDS**

### **I. LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENFORCEMENT OF DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN-RELATED AWARDS**

#### **A. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND**

##### **1. As Concerns Domestic Awards**

§ 3:1 Before 1992

§ 3:2 From 1992 to 1994

§ 3:3 Under the Arbitration Law 1995

§ 3:4 Under the revised Civil Procedure Law 2008

##### **2. As Concerns Foreign-Related Awards**

§ 3:5 Before 1982

§ 3:6 Under the Civil Procedure Law (Trial Implementation) 1982

§ 3:7 Under the Civil Procedure Law 1992

§ 3:8 Under the Arbitration Law 1995

§ 3:9 Under the revised Civil Procedure Law 2008

#### **B. CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

§ 3:10 Relevant laws

§ 3:11 Relevant regulations

### **II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENFORCEMENT OF GREATER CHINA AWARDS**

#### **A. AS CONCERNS HONG KONG AWARDS**

§ 3:12 Historical background

§ 3:13 Mainland-Hong Kong Arrangement 2000

#### **B. AS CONCERNS MACAO AWARDS**

§ 3:14 Historical background

§ 3:15 Mainland-Macao Arrangement 2008

#### **C. AS CONCERNS TAIWAN AWARDS**

§ 3:16 Historical background

§ 3:17 SPC Taiwan Provisions 1998

### **III. LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN AWARDS**

#### **A. HISTORICAL BACKGROUND**

- § 3:18 Before 1982
- § 3:19 Under the Civil Procedure Law 1982
- § 3:20 Under the Civil Procedure Law 1992 and the Arbitration Law 1995

#### **B. CURRENT LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

- § 3:21 Starting point: Article 267 of Civil Procedure Law 2008
- § 3:22 New York Convention 1958
- § 3:23 —Reciprocity reservation
- § 3:24 —Commercial reservation
- § 3:25 Mutual assistance treaties
- § 3:26 —MATs with states nonsignatory to the New York Convention 1958
- § 3:27 —MATs with New York Convention states
- § 3:28 Reciprocity principle

### **IV. EXCURSUS: LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARDS OVER INVESTMENT DISPUTES**

#### **A. WASHINGTON CONVENTION 1965**

- § 3:29 Role of the Washington Convention 1965 with regard to the review of arbitral awards
- § 3:30 It's limited scope of application with regard to China
- § 3:31 —ICSID's limited jurisdiction
- § 3:32 —China's "limited" accession to the Washington Convention 1965
- § 3:33 —The SAIPEM case 2007 and its relevance with regard to China
- § 3:34 Enforcement of ICSID awards
- § 3:35 —Article 54 of Washington Convention 1965
- § 3:36 —Enforcement of ICSID awards in China
- § 3:37 —Lack of case law

#### **B. CHINA'S BITS**

- § 3:38 Quick overview of China's BIT history
- § 3:39 Procedural remedies available under China's BITS
- § 3:40 —Under first generation BITS
- § 3:41 —Under second generation BITS
- § 3:42 —Comparison

- § 3:43 Enforcement of awards over disputes falling under a BIT

## **PART 2. ENFORCEMENT PROCESS**

### **CHAPTER 4. BASIC CONCEPTS**

#### **I. CONCEPT OF ENFORCEMENT**

##### **A. DEFINITIONS**

- § 4:1 “Enforcement” in general  
§ 4:2 With regard to arbitral awards

##### **B. DISTINCTIONS**

- § 4:3 Recognition versus enforcement  
§ 4:4 Enforcement of arbitral awards versus enforcement of arbitration agreements  
§ 4:5 Enforcement versus setting aside of arbitral awards

#### **II. CONCEPT OF ARBITRAL AWARD**

##### **A. DEFINITION OF “ARBITRAL AWARD”**

- § 4:6 Lack of official definition  
§ 4:7 Essence of an arbitral award under Chinese law  
§ 4:8 Nationality of the award  
§ 4:9 —Traditional approach  
§ 4:10 —Problems in practice

##### **B. DIFFERENT KINDS OF ARBITRAL AWARDS**

- § 4:11 Final, partial, interim awards, and procedural orders  
§ 4:12 Consent awards, regular awards, and default awards  
§ 4:13 Distinction with interim measures of protection

##### **C. DISTINCTIONS WITH DECISIONAL TOOLS IN OTHER ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION MECHANISMS**

- § 4:14 Settlement agreements  
§ 4:15 Other alternative dispute resolution tools

#### **III. CONCEPT OF ENFORCEABILITY**

##### **A. ARBITRAL AWARD AS ENFORCEABLE TITLE**

- § 4:16 Relevant legal basis  
§ 4:17 —Chinese awards



- § 4:18 —Foreign awards
- § 4:19 —Greater China awards
- § 4:20 Distinctions with the court's decision on the award

## B. ENFORCEABLE CONTENT

- § 4:21 Operative part of the award
- § 4:22 Enforceable types of claims

# CHAPTER 5. PROCEDURAL FRAMEWORK

## I. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

### A. ORGANS OF ENFORCEMENT

- § 5:1 Courts as enforcement organs
- § 5:2 Territorial jurisdiction
- § 5:3 Jurisdiction by level

### B. PARTIES

- § 5:4 In principle
- § 5:5 Exceptions
- § 5:6 —Where the losing party is a natural person
- § 5:7 —Where the losing party is a legal entity
- § 5:8 Remaining shortcomings

### C. REQUEST FOR ENFORCEMENT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION

- § 5:9 Written request for enforcement
- § 5:10 Additional documents

### D. TIME LIMITS

- § 5:11 New two-year time limit
- § 5:12 Calculation principles

### E. COSTS

- § 5:13 Amount of cost
- § 5:14 Time of payment

### F. REPRESENTATION ISSUES

- § 5:15 Need for a Chinese lawyer
- § 5:16 Role of foreign lawyers

**G. COMPATIBILITY WITH APPLICABLE  
INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS**

- § 5:17 Limited availability of international standards and the  
resulting lack of uniformity
- § 5:18 Compatibility of Chinese law with international standards

**II. DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURE OF THE  
COURT**

**A. ACCEPTANCE OF THE CASE**

- § 5:19 Conditions for acceptance
- § 5:20 Decision of the court

**B. EXAMINATION OF THE CASE—PROCEDURAL  
ASPECTS**

- § 5:21 Notice of enforcement
- § 5:22 Examination of the defendant's property
- § 5:23 —Duty of the parties
- § 5:24 —Duty of the court
- § 5:25 Examination of the enforcement request
- § 5:26 Request for information from the arbitration commission
- § 5:27 Hearing
- § 5:28 Decision
- § 5:29 Enforcement assistance notice

**C. ENTRUSTMENT OF THE ENFORCEMENT TO  
ANOTHER COURT**

- § 5:30 Concept of entrustment
- § 5:31 Process of entrustment

**D. SUSPENSION AND EARLY TERMINATION**

- § 5:32 Suspension
- § 5:33 —Circumstances expressly listed in Article 232 of Civil  
Procedure Law 2008
- § 5:34 —Other circumstances
- § 5:35 —Formalities
- § 5:36 Early termination
- § 5:37 —Circumstances justifying early termination
- § 5:38 —Formalities

**E. TIME LIMITS FOR ENFORCEMENT**

- § 5:39 General time limit under the Civil Procedure Law 2008
- § 5:40 Conflicting regulations

- § 5:41 Harmonization attempt by the SPC
- § 5:42 —Two different starting points
- § 5:43 —Situations which suspend the running of the six-month time limit
- § 5:44 Remaining confusion

## F. PROPERTY PRESERVATION MEASURES

- § 5:45 Function of property preservation measures in the context of enforcement proceedings
- § 5:46 Competent court
- § 5:47 Procedure

## G. COURT SUPERVISION, TRANSPARENCY, AND DATA COLLECTION

- § 5:48 Court supervision
- § 5:49 —Court internal supervision
- § 5:50 —Inter parte supervision
- § 5:51 Transparency of enforcement proceedings
- § 5:52 Collection of data

# CHAPTER 6. EFFECTS OF A DECISION TO OR NOT TO ENFORCE AN AWARD—CONSEQUENCES, REMEDIES, AND ENFORCEMENT PROPER

## I. CONSEQUENCES OF AND THE REMEDIES AGAINST A DECISION NOT TO ENFORCE THE AWARD

### A. CONSEQUENCES OF A DECISION NOT TO ENFORCE

- § 6:1 “Decision not to enforce”
- § 6:2 Immediate consequence

### B. LEGAL REMEDIES

- § 6:3 Very limited legal remedies
- § 6:4 No appeal
- § 6:5 No adjudication supervision procedure
- § 6:6 Claim under an investment treaty for violation of the foreign investor's right?

### C. OTHER REMEDIES

- § 6:7 Informal channels

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:8 Rearbitration/adjudication in China
- § 6:9 Enforcement of the award abroad
- § 6:10 Enforcement of a foreign enforcement order

## II. CONSEQUENCES OF A DECISION TO ENFORCE: ENFORCEMENT PROPER

### A. CONSEQUENCES OF A DECISION TO ENFORCE

- § 6:11 Enforcement proper
- § 6:12 Different modes of enforcement

### B. MEASURES FOR ENFORCEMENT BY DIRECT COERCION

- § 6:13 Protecting the status quo: Sealing up, detaining, and freezing property
- § 6:14 —Assets to be enforced against
- § 6:15 —Scope of the measures
- § 6:16 —Procedure
- § 6:17 —Applicable time limits
- § 6:18 Satisfying the applicant's claim
- § 6:19 —Auction
- § 6:20 —Sell off
- § 6:21 —Transfer of assets and/or property
- § 6:22 —Other measures
- § 6:23 —Continuing duty to perform the award

### C. MEASURES FOR ENFORCEMENT BY INDIRECT COERCION: ADDITIONAL SANCTIONS AGAINST NONENFORCEMENT

- § 6:24 Preliminary remarks
- § 6:25 Civil sanctions
- § 6:26 Administrative sanctions
- § 6:27 —Sanctions against the litigants and third parties
- § 6:28 —Sanctions against assisting units and personnel
- § 6:29 —Procedural safeguards
- § 6:30 Criminal sanctions
- § 6:31 —Crime of refusing to enforce a decision
- § 6:32 —Crime of preventing enforcement of property
- § 6:33 —Crime of violently obstructing enforcement
- § 6:34 —Sanctions
- § 6:35 Relevance of sanctions in practice

### D. PARA-ENFORCEMENT MEASURES

- § 6:36 Concept

- § 6:37 Right of the applicant to request subrogation of claims
- § 6:38 Annulment of prior disposal acts

## **PART 3. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT**

### **CHAPTER 7. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT UNDER THE VARIOUS REGIMES**

#### **I. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT OF CHINESE AWARDS**

##### **A. CONCERNING DOMESTIC AWARDS**

- § 7:1 Applicable legal provisions and relevant grounds
- § 7:2 —Grounds which may be invoked by the defendant
- § 7:3 —Grounds to be examined by the court ex officio
- § 7:4 Comparison with grounds for setting aside domestic awards

##### **B. CONCERNING FOREIGN-RELATED AWARDS**

- § 7:5 Applicable legal provisions and relevant grounds
- § 7:6 —Grounds which may be invoked by the defendant
- § 7:7 —Grounds to be examined by the court ex officio
- § 7:8 Comparison with grounds for setting aside foreign-related awards

##### **C. QUESTIONS OF INAPPLICABILITY, WAIVER, AND PRECLUSION**

- § 7:9 In general
- § 7:10 Exceptions
- § 7:11 —Waiver in connection with a consent award
- § 7:12 —Preclusion of the right to invoke a specific ground for nonenforcement already used in setting aside proceedings
- § 7:13 —Implicit waiver through the failure to invoke the ground during the arbitration proceedings
- § 7:14 Need for legislative interpretations

#### **II. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT OF GREATER CHINA AWARDS**

##### **A. CONCERNING HONG KONG AWARDS**

- § 7:15 Applicable legal provisions (reminder)
- § 7:16 Grounds for nonenforcement

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:17 —Grounds which may be invoked by the defendant
- § 7:18 —Grounds to be examined by the court ex officio

### B. CONCERNING MACAO AWARDS

- § 7:19 Applicable legal provisions (reminder)
- § 7:20 Grounds for nonenforcement
- § 7:21 —Grounds which may be invoked by the defendant
- § 7:22 —Grounds to be examined by the court ex officio

### C. CONCERNING TAIWAN AWARDS

- § 7:23 Applicable legal provisions (reminder)
- § 7:24 Grounds for nonenforcement relevant for arbitral awards

### D. QUESTIONS OF INAPPLICABILITY, WAIVER, AND PRECLUSION

- § 7:25 In general
- § 7:26 Exceptions

## III. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN AWARDS

### A. APPLICABLE LEGAL PROVISIONS AND RELEVANT GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT

- § 7:27 Applicable legal provisions (reminder)
- § 7:28 Grounds for nonenforcement under the New York Convention  
1958
- § 7:29 —Grounds which may be invoked by the defendant
- § 7:30 —Grounds to be examined by the courts ex officio

### B. QUESTIONS OF INAPPLICABILITY, WAIVER, AND PRECLUSION

- § 7:31 Under relevant international instruments
- § 7:32 Under Chinese implementation laws

## IV. COMPARATIVE OVERVIEW

### A. COMPARISON OF THE STANDARD OF REVIEW

- § 7:33 Basic principles of review
- § 7:34 Discretionary power of the courts

### B. COMPARISON OF THE VARIOUS GROUNDS

- § 7:35 Domestic versus foreign-related awards

- § 7:36 Foreign-related awards versus New York Convention 1958/  
UNCITRAL Model Law 2006
- § 7:37 Greater China versus New York Convention Awards 1958/  
UNCITRAL Model Law 2006
- § 7:38 Foreign-related versus Greater China awards
- § 7:39 Summary table

## CHAPTER 8. ANALYSIS OF THE SPECIFIC GROUNDS

### I. GROUNDS FOR NONENFORCEMENT COMMON TO ALL REGIMES AND THEIR SCOPE OF APPLICATION

#### A. LACK OF A VALID ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

- § 8:1 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:2 Law applicable to the arbitration agreement
- § 8:3 —With regard to Chinese arbitrations
- § 8:4 —With regard to foreign and Greater China arbitrations
- § 8:5 Validity requirements concerning Chinese arbitration agreements
- § 8:6 —Formal validity requirements
- § 8:7 —Pathological arbitration clauses
- § 8:8 —Material validity requirements
- § 8:9 Validity requirements concerning foreign and Greater China arbitration agreements
- § 8:10 —Formal validity requirements concerning foreign arbitration agreements
- § 8:11 —Formal validity requirements concerning Greater China arbitration agreements
- § 8:12 Practical relevance of the ground of invalidity of the arbitration agreement

#### B. LACK OF CIVIL CAPACITY OF A PARTY

- § 8:13 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:14 Law applicable to the capacity of the parties
- § 8:15 Civil capacity under Chinese law (reminder)
- § 8:16 Practical relevance of the ground of lack of capacity of a party

#### C. LACK OF DUE PROCESS

- § 8:17 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:18 —Concerning foreign, Hong Kong, Macao, and foreign-related awards

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:19 —Concerning Chinese domestic awards
- § 8:20 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:21 Practical relevance of the ground of lack of due process

### D. EXCESS OF THE ARBITRATION SCOPE

- § 8:22 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:23 —Concerning foreign, Hong Kong, and Macao awards
- § 8:24 —Concerning Chinese domestic and foreign-related awards
- § 8:25 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:26 Consequences of exceeding the arbitration scope: Partial or total unenforceability
- § 8:27 Practical relevance of the ground of exceeding the arbitration scope

### E. IRREGULARITY IN THE ARBITRATION PROCEDURE

- § 8:28 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:29 —Concerning foreign, Hong Kong, and Macao awards
- § 8:30 —Concerning Chinese domestic and foreign-related awards
- § 8:31 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:32 Degree of irregularity
- § 8:33 Practical relevance of the ground of irregularity in the arbitration procedure

### F. LACK OF BINDING CHARACTER OF THE AWARD

- § 8:34 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:35 —Concerning foreign and Hong Kong awards
- § 8:36 —Concerning Macao awards
- § 8:37 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:38 —Concerning Chinese domestic and foreign-related awards
- § 8:39 Practical relevance of the ground of lack of binding character

### G. LACK OF ARBITRABILITY

- § 8:40 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions
- § 8:41 —Concerning foreign, Hong Kong, and Macao awards
- § 8:42 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:43 —Concerning Chinese domestic and foreign-related awards
- § 8:44 Concept of arbitrability under Chinese law (reminder)
- § 8:45 Practical relevance of the ground of lack of arbitrability

### H. CONFLICT WITH PUBLIC POLICY

- § 8:46 Scope of application under the relevant legal provisions



- § 8:47 —Concerning foreign awards
- § 8:48 —Concerning Hong Kong awards
- § 8:49 —Concerning Macao awards
- § 8:50 —Concerning Taiwan awards
- § 8:51 —Concerning Chinese domestic and foreign-related awards
- § 8:52 Concept of public policy as applied by the SPC
- § 8:53 Practical relevance of the ground of public policy

## **II. GROUNDS PARTICULAR TO SPECIFIC REGIMES**

### **A. GROUNDS SPECIFIC TO DOMESTIC AWARDS**

- § 8:54 Preliminary remarks
- § 8:55 Insufficiency of evidence
- § 8:56 —Scope of application
- § 8:57 —Practical relevance of the ground of insufficient evidence
- § 8:58 Misapplication of law
- § 8:59 —Scope of application
- § 8:60 —Practical relevance of the ground of misapplication of the law
- § 8:61 Misconduct of an arbitrator
- § 8:62 —Scope of application
- § 8:63 —Practical relevance of the ground of misconduct of an arbitrator

### **B. GROUNDS SPECIFIC TO TAIWAN AWARDS**

- § 8:64 Preliminary remarks
- § 8:65 Breach of the principle of *res iudicata*
- § 8:66 Breach of the “One China Principle”

## **PART 4. ASSESSMENT**

### **CHAPTER 9. EFFICACY OF THE CHINESE ARBITRATION SYSTEM AND THE ROLE OF ENFORCEMENT ISSUES**

#### **I. DEFINING EFFICACY**

- § 9:1 Traditional approach and its paradox
- § 9:2 Parties’ expectations and satisfaction
- § 9:3 Minimal standard of justice
- § 9:4 Absolute versus relative efficacy
- § 9:5 Need for a more comprehensive approach

## II. MEASURING EFFICACY

- § 9:6 Indicators of efficacy and available data
- § 9:7 —What is the total number of arbitrations initiated during a specific period of time?
- § 9:8 —Among the arbitration cases initiated, how many are stopped from the outset, based on a genuine lack of arbitral jurisdiction and based on improper reasons?
- § 9:9 —Among arbitration cases that proceed, how many result in a final award and how many satisfactorily end before the issuance of such final award?
- § 9:10 —Among the cases resulting in a final award, in how many cases does the issuance of such final award suffice to satisfactorily resolve the dispute?
- § 9:11 —Among the cases in which a final award does not suffice, how many are set aside, based on genuine grounds for setting aside and based on improper reasons?
- § 9:12 —Among the cases which are not set aside, how many are subject to enforcement proceedings and how many are abandoned?
- § 9:13 —Among the cases brought to enforcement, how many are refused enforcement, based on genuine grounds for nonenforcement and based on improper reasons?
- § 9:14 —Among the cases which are granted enforcement, how many are complied with, how many are subject to postenforcement settlements, and how many lead to enforcement proper?
- § 9:15 —Among the cases which lead to enforcement proper, what is the percentage of recovery of assets?
- § 9:16 —Summary schema
- § 9:17 Identifiable trends
- § 9:18 —With regard to the efficacy of enforcement
- § 9:19 —With regard to the efficacy of arbitration in general

## III. IMPROVING EFFICACY

- § 9:20 Interaction between enforcement framework and broader legal framework
- § 9:21 Recommendations for improvement
- § 9:22 —More transparency
- § 9:23 —Suggested legislative amendments
- § 9:24 —Necessary institutional reforms
- § 9:25 State of revision of the Arbitration Law 1995

## APPENDICES

Appendix A. Bibliography

Appendix B. Table of Laws and Regulations (as of August 30, 2009)

Appendix C. Table of Cases

## ENFORCEMENT OF COMMERCIAL ARBITRAL AWARDS IN CHINA

Appendix D. Case Reports

Appendix E. Table of Abbreviations

Appendix F. List of Technical Terms with Official Chinese  
Translation

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Index**