

# On the variability of squeezing behaviour in tunnelling

Florence Mezger

Veröffentlichungen des Instituts für Geotechnik (IGT) der ETH Zürich  
Band 254, April 2020



# Contents

- Vorwort.....iii**
- Acknowledgements.....v**
- Summary .....vii**
- Zusammenfassung.....ix**
- Contents.....xi**
- Introduction .....1**
  - Problem statement.....1
  - Structure of the thesis .....2
  - Remarks.....7
  - Publications.....7
- PART I: ANALYSIS OF CASE HISTORIES .....9**
- 1 Sedrun Section of the Gotthard Base Tunnel.....11**
  - 1.1 Introduction.....11
  - 1.2 Geology .....13
  - 1.3 Construction Method.....21
  - 1.4 Rock response to tunnelling .....23
  - 1.5 Data Analysis.....25
    - 1.5.1 Convergences .....25
    - 1.5.2 Schistosity orientation.....28
    - 1.5.3 Combined effect of schistosity orientation and shearing degree .....30
    - 1.5.4 Influence of adjacent weaker or stronger zones.....31
    - 1.5.5 The longitudinal component of displacement .....33
  - 1.6 Predictive capacity of the empirical equation.....34
  - 1.7 Conclusions .....37
  - Notation.....37
- 2 Giumello Gneiss Section of the Ceneri Base Tunnel.....39**
  - 2.1 Introduction.....39
  - 2.2 Geology .....39
  - 2.3 Construction method.....40
  - 2.4 Rock response to tunnelling .....41
  - 2.5 Data analysis .....41
  - 2.6 Conclusions .....43
  - Notation.....43

<b>3</b>	<b>Carbon Section of the Löttschberg Base Tunnel .....</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	45
3.2	Geology .....	45
3.3	Construction Method.....	46
3.4	Rock response to tunnelling .....	48
3.5	Data Analysis.....	48
3.6	Conclusions .....	52
	Notation.....	52
<b>PART II: TUNNELLING PERPENDICULARLY TO ALTERNATING WEAK AND COMPETENT ROCK LAYERS.....</b>		<b>53</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Closed-form solution for the ground response curve in tunnelling perpendicularly to thinly alternating weak and competent rocks .....</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	57
5.2	Perfectly plastic behaviour disregarding out-of-plane plastic flow .....	58
5.2.1	Problem statement .....	58
5.2.2	Incremental stress-strain relationships.....	59
5.2.3	Elastic part of the GRC.....	61
5.2.4	GRC part for elasto-plastic behaviour either of the weak or the hard layers.....	63
5.2.5	GRC part for elasto-plastic behaviour both of the weak and of the hard layers.....	67
5.2.6	Verification of the analytical solution .....	69
5.2.7	Dimensionless diagrams .....	72
5.3	Extension of the closed-form solution for brittle behaviour of the hard layers .....	73
5.3.1	Derivation .....	73
5.3.2	Verification of the analytical solution .....	75
5.4	Extension for out-of-plane plastic flow.....	76
5.4.1	Derivation .....	76
5.4.2	Verification of the analytical solution .....	80
5.5	Model behaviour .....	81
5.5.1	Perfectly plastic behaviour of the hard layers.....	81
5.5.2	Effect of brittle behaviour of the hard layers.....	85
5.6	Relevance of hard interlayers.....	85
5.7	Remarks concerning the adequacy of the homogenised model.....	86
5.8	Conclusions .....	87
	Notation.....	88
<b>6</b>	<b>Determination of equivalent parameters for a rock mass consisting of thinly alternating weak and competent rocks.....</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	91
6.2	Determination of the parameters of the equivalent isotropic medium.....	91
6.3	Relationships between the material constants of the equivalent isotropic medium and those of the transversely isotropic medium .....	93
6.4	Accuracy of the proposed simplified model .....	95
6.5	Application examples.....	97
6.6	Closing remarks.....	98
	Notation.....	99

**7 Influence of the heterogeneity scale on the squeezing variability along the tunnel .....101**

7.1 Introduction .....101

7.2 Longitudinal distribution of the displacements .....101

7.3 A simple equation for the displacements in a weak zone considering a wall-effect .....103

7.4 Conclusions .....107

Notation.....107

**PART III: TUNNELLING PARALLEL TO ALTERNATING WEAK AND COMPETENT ROCK LAYERS.....109**

**8 Introduction .....111**

**9 Response of a thinly stratified rock mass, striking parallel to tunnel axis .....113**

9.1 Introduction .....113

9.2 Constitutive model .....113

9.2.1 Formulation of the constitutive model.....113

9.2.2 Rock element behaviour.....114

9.3 Basic aspects of the bedded rock response to excavation.....117

9.4 Development of nomograms.....123

9.5 Parameters of an equivalent isotropic material.....125

9.5.1 Procedure .....125

9.5.2 Application examples .....128

9.6 Conclusions .....128

Notation.....129

**10 Influence of the heterogeneity scale on the distribution of the ground displacements in the profile.....133**

10.1 Introduction .....133

10.2 Adequacy of the homogenised model .....133

10.3 Tunnelling parallel to the interface between a weak and a competent formation.....140

10.4 Alternating weak and competent layers of medium thickness .....142

10.5 Conclusions .....142

Notation.....142

**11 Response of a schistous rock mass striking parallel to the tunnel axis .....145**

11.1 Introduction .....145

11.2 Constitutive model .....147

11.2.1 Formulation of the constitutive model .....147

11.2.2 Rock element behaviour .....149

11.3 Basic aspects of the schistous rock response to excavation.....150

11.4 Development of nomograms.....155

11.5 Parameters of an equivalent isotropic material.....157

11.6 Conclusions .....158

Notation.....159

**PART IV: TUNNELLING WITH AN ARBITRARY ANGLE TO THE SCHISTOSITY OR BEDDING PLANES .....161**

**12 Influence of the orientation of the anisotropy planes on the squeezing deformations.....163**

12.1 Introduction.....163

12.2 Tunnelling in schistous rock, perpendicular to the strike direction.....165

12.2.1	Influence of the dip angle on the displacements.....	165
12.2.2	Influence of the dip angle on the pre-deformations.....	169
12.2.3	Influence of the dip angle on the convergences .....	170
12.3	Tunnelling in thinly stratified rock, perpendicular to the strike direction.....	172
12.3.1	Influence of the dip angle on the displacements.....	172
12.3.2	Influence of the dip angle on the pre-deformations.....	172
12.3.3	Influence of the dip angle on the convergences .....	175
12.4	Arbitrary strike .....	175
12.5	Conclusions .....	177
	Notation.....	178
<b>13</b>	<b>Variability of squeezing deformations in folded rocks .....</b>	<b>181</b>
13.1	Introduction.....	181
13.2	Problem definition.....	182
13.3	Schistous rocks .....	185
13.4	Stratified rocks.....	191
13.5	Case history of the Sedrun section of the Gotthard Base Tunnel.....	194
13.6	Conclusions .....	196
	Notation.....	196
<b>PART V: DEALING WITH THE SQUEEZING VARIABILITY IN SHIELDED TBM TUNNELLING .....</b>		<b>199</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Higher capacity segmental lining systems .....</b>	<b>201</b>
14.1	Introduction.....	201
14.2	Lining systems.....	201
14.3	Single shell segmental lining made of normal-strength concrete .....	204
14.4	Single shell segmental lining made of (U)HPC.....	206
14.4.1	Principle and manufacture .....	206
14.4.2	Mechanical properties.....	206
14.4.3	Design.....	207
14.4.4	Durability .....	207
14.4.5	Fire behaviour.....	208
14.4.6	The use of (U)HPC for segmental linings .....	209
14.5	Double shell lining consisting of two segmental rings.....	210
14.6	Double shell lining with inner ring of cast in-situ concrete .....	211
14.7	Load bearing capacity of the lining systems .....	212
14.7.1	Single or double shell systems of uniform quality concrete .....	212
14.7.2	Double shells of different quality concretes .....	213
14.8	Rock pressure .....	214
14.9	Comparative cost analysis.....	218
14.10	Conclusions .....	225
	Notation.....	225
<b>15</b>	<b>Deformable segmental lining systems .....</b>	<b>227</b>
15.1	Introduction.....	227
15.2	Basic considerations.....	229
15.2.1	Deformation capacity .....	229
15.2.2	Load-displacement and stress-strain behaviour .....	230
15.2.3	Installation procedure.....	232
15.2.4	Serviceability.....	232
15.2.5	Bearing capacity .....	232

15.2.6	Monitoring .....	233
15.2.7	Costs .....	233
15.3	Structural assessment .....	233
15.3.1	Computational model .....	233
15.3.2	Computational example .....	234
15.3.3	Design diagrams .....	235
15.4	Structural comparison: resistance vs. yielding principle .....	238
15.5	Cost comparison: resistance vs. yielding principle .....	242
15.5.1	Tunnel costs for a specific geotechnical situation .....	242
15.5.2	Tunnel costs considering squeezing distribution .....	244
15.6	Conclusions .....	247
	Notation .....	247
	<b>Conclusion and perspectives .....</b>	<b>249</b>
	<b>APPENDIX .....</b>	<b>257</b>
	<b>Appendix A: Tunnelling perpendicularly to a thinly stratified rock mass –</b>	
	<b>Coefficients of the incremental stress strain relationships .....</b>	<b>259</b>
A.1	Case <i>i</i> . Both the weak and the hard layers behave elastically .....	259
A.2	Case <i>ii</i> . Weak layers yield in-plane, hard layers behave elastically .....	260
A.3	Case <i>iii</i> . Hard layers yield in-plane, weak layers behave elastically .....	261
A.4	Case <i>iv</i> . Both the hard and the weak layers yield in-plane .....	263
A.5	Case <i>ii-o</i> . Weak layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension, hard layers behave elastically .....	265
A.6	Case <i>iii-o</i> . Hard layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension, weak layers behave elastically .....	266
A.7	Case <i>iv-o1</i> . Weak layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension, hard layers yield in-plane .....	268
A.8	Case <i>iv-o2</i> . Weak layers yield in-plane, hard layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension .....	270
A.9	Case <i>iv-o3</i> . Both the weak and the hard layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension .....	271
A.10	Case <i>iv-o4</i> . Weak and hard layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial compression and extension, respectively .....	272
A.11	Case <i>iv-o5</i> . Weak and hard layers yield out-of-plane in triaxial extension and compression, respectively .....	273
	<b>Appendix B: Tunnelling perpendicularly to a thinly stratified rock mass –</b>	
	<b>Dimensionless diagrams for the displacements .....</b>	<b>275</b>
	<b>Appendix C: Tunnelling perpendicularly to a thinly stratified rock mass –</b>	
	<b>Flow chart of the Matlab-code for calculating the GRC .....</b>	<b>285</b>
	<b>Appendix D: Stratified rock mass – Implementation and validation of the</b>	
	<b>constitutive model .....</b>	<b>295</b>
D.1	Elastic homogenisation .....	295
D.2	Elasto-plastic homogenisation .....	296
D.3	Schematic stress-point algorithm .....	297
D.4	Validation of the constitutive model analogously to Lourenço (1995) .....	297
D.5	Validation of the constitutive model considering the problem of tunnelling perpendicular to the layers of a thinly stratified rock mass .....	298

<b>Appendix E: Tunnelling parallel to the bedding of a thinly stratified rock mass – Dimensionless diagrams for the displacements.....</b>	<b>301</b>
<b>Appendix F: Schistous rock mass – Implementation and validation of the constitutive model.....</b>	<b>311</b>
F.1 Model formulation .....	311
F.2 Schematic stress-point algorithm for the 2D case .....	313
F.3 Schematic stress-point algorithm for the 3D case .....	314
F.4 Validation examples .....	315
<b>Appendix G: Tunnelling parallel to the schistosity plane – Dimensionless diagrams for the displacements .....</b>	<b>317</b>
<b>Notation.....</b>	<b>323</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>327</b>