

Aus der Universitätsklinik für Frauenheilkunde, Inselspital Bern

Direktor: Prof. Dr. med. Daniel Surbek

Arbeit unter der Leitung von Prof. Dr. med. Boris Tutschek

**Fetal magnetic resonance imaging
as an adjunct to prenatal ultrasound diagnosis:
a pilot study**

Inaugural-Dissertation zur Erlangung der Doktorwürde der
Humanmedizin

der Medizinischen Fakultät der Universität Bern

vorgelegt von

Cerne Sánchez Rodríguez Maja

Von Gossau, St. Gallen

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 6

 1.1. The current level of knowledge 6

 1.2. Historical development..... 8

 1.3. Aim of this study..... 8

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS..... 10

 2.1. Study design and patients..... 10

 2.1.1. Study design..... 10

 2.1.2. Hypothesis..... 10

 2.2. Fetal ultrasound 12

 2.3. MR Imaging..... 12

 2.3.1. Indications and exclusion criteria..... 12

 2.3.2. Fetal MRI: imaging protocol..... 14

 2.3.3. Image evaluation and information to the parents..... 15

 2.4. Statistical analysis..... 15

3. RESULTS 16

 3.1. Groups of pathologies/indications 16

 3.2. CHANGES IN PRENATAL DIAGNOSIS due to MR findings 17

 3.2.1. Cerebral pathologies 17

 3.2.2. Thoracic pathologies 20

 3.2.3. Abdominal pathologies 21

 3.2.4. Genitourinary pathologies..... 22

 3.2.5. Complex malformations..... 24

 3.2.6. Lymphangioma..... 25

 3.2.7. Placenta 25

 3.2.8. Cervix 26

3.2.9. No malformations	27
3.3. CHANGES IN PERINATAL MANAGEMENT due to MR findings	28
3.3.1. Cerebral pathologies	28
3.3.2. Thoracic pathologies	30
3.3.3. Abdominal pathologies	32
3.3.4. Genitourinary pathologies.....	34
3.3.5. Complex malformations.....	34
3.3.6. Lymphangioma	35
3.3.7. Placenta	36
3.3.8. Cervix	36
3.3.9. No malformations	37
3.4. Summary: Changes in clinical course due to MR findings	39
4. DISCUSSION	41
4.1. Ultrasound and MRI in prenatal diagnosis	41
4.2. Discussion by organ region.....	41
4.2.1. Cerebral pathologies	41
4.2.2. Thoracic pathologies	44
4.2.3. Abdominal pathologies	45
4.2.4. Genitourinary pathologies.....	47
4.2.5. Complex malformations.....	49
4.2.6. Lymphangioma	49
4.2.7. Placenta	50
4.2.8. Cervix	50
4.2.9. Prenatal “exclusion“ of US-detected malformations by MRI	50
4.3. Conclusion	51
5. SUMMARY	52
5.1. Patients and methods	52

5.2. Results 52

5.3. Discussion..... 54

5.4. Conclusion 54

6. ANNEX 55

6.1. Tables 55

6.2. Index of Images 78

7. Acknowledgements 96

8. References 97