

Consumer Involvement in Private EU Competition Law Enforcement

MARIA IOANNIDOU

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxix

1. Introduction	1
1. Background	1
2. Nature of the Subject Matter	2
2.1 EU competition law enforcement: A fused approach	3
2.2 The consumer's role in EU competition law enforcement	3
2.3 Function of tort law	4
2.4 Harmonization: Unity or diversity?	4
3. Methodology and Terminological Clarifications	5
4. Structure	7

PART A: NORMATIVE APPROACH TO CONSUMER PARTICIPATION

2. 'Consumer Interest' and the Aims of EU Competition Law	11
1. Introduction	11
2. The Interplay between Competition Law and Consumer Law	12
3. Multiple Aims and the 'Consumer Interest'	15
3.1 Multiplicity of aims	15
3.2 'Consumer welfare' in EU competition law	22
3.3 'Consumer interest' in EU competition law	24
4. The Role of the 'Consumer Interest'	25
4.1 'Consumer welfare': Commission 'rhetoric'	26
4.2 'Consumer interest': Insights from EU jurisprudence	28
5. Embracing 'Consumer Interest' in EU Competition Law	42
6. Conclusion	44
3. Normative Justifications for Increased Consumer Involvement	47
1. Introduction	47
2. Endemic/Functional Aims of Private Competition Law Enforcement	48
2.1 Private enforcement of EU law	48
2.2 Private enforcement of EU competition law: EU institutions' approach	52
2.3 Scepticism as to the deterrent function of (follow-on) damages actions	62
2.4 Ranking the endemic aims	66

3. Ancillary Institutional Benefits	67
3.1 Proliferation of information—contributing to consumer education and empowerment	67
3.2 Legitimization of EU policies	68
4. Conclusion	72

PART B: PRACTICAL APPROACH TO CONSUMER PARTICIPATION

4. Improving Consumers' Role: 'Standing' and 'Access to Evidence'	75
1. Introduction	75
2. Characteristics of Consumer Claims: Justifying a More 'Enabling' Approach	75
3. Consumer Standing and Passing-on	79
3.1 A theoretical account: SCOTUS approach	80
3.2 The European Court's approach	83
3.3 Legislative solution	86
4. Access to Evidence	90
4.1 Disclosure of evidence: Promoting stand-alone consumer claims	90
4.2 Promoting follow-on consumer claims—preserving the effectiveness of public enforcement	94
5. Conclusion	104
5. Improving Consumers' Role: Collective Actions	107
1. Introduction	107
2. EU Developments on Collective Redress: A Historical Account	108
3. Demarcating Collective Action Mechanisms in the Field of Competition Law	111
3.1 Individual v collective consumer interest	111
3.2 'Access to justice' for consumer claims in competition law	115
4. Structural Characteristics of a Model Collective Action	117
4.1 Structuring the group: Opt in v opt-out	118
4.2 Standing to bring claims: Consumer representatives	120
4.3 Funding of actions	124
4.4 Distribution of the damages award	125
4.5 Synopsis	126
5. Structural Characteristics of Member States' Collective Action Mechanisms	126
5.1 Furthering individual consumers' interests	127
5.2 Furthering the collective consumer interest	132
5.3 Synopsis	136
6. Structuring the Appropriate EU Collective Action Mechanism	137
6.1 Standing: Lead plaintiff, public body, or consumer organization	138
6.2 Forming the group: Opt-in v opt-out	141
6.3 Distribution of the damages award	142
6.4 Costs and funding of collective actions	144
7. Conclusion	147

6. Consumer Involvement in Public Competition Law Enforcement: Towards Acceptable Alternatives	151
1. Introduction	151
2. Fused Approach to Enforcement: The Benefits	152
2.1 Rethinking the deterrence—Compensation dichotomy	152
2.2 Ancillary institutional benefits	155
3. Current Avenues for Consumer Participation: Public Enforcement <i>Stricto Sensu</i>	156
3.1 Complaints to the Commission	156
3.2 Making complaints to the Commission more effective	162
3.3 Sector inquiries	163
3.4 Improvements to sector inquiries: Priorities, structure, and outcome	165
3.5 ‘Super-complaints’: The European way	166
3.6 Other participation avenues: Intervention	170
4. Public Enforcement <i>Lato Sensu</i> : Towards a Mixed Approach to Competition Law Enforcement	171
4.1 Instances of ‘public compensation’	172
4.2 Categorization of cases	175
4.3 Institutionalizing a public compensation approach	176
4.4 Serving the collective consumer interest	179
5. Conclusion	180
7. Overcoming Institutional and Political Limitations: Appropriate Instruments for the Introduction of European-Wide Measures	181
1. Introduction	181
2. Enhancing Consumer Participation: Political Obstacles and the Proposed Measures	182
2.1 Political obstacles and the Directive on Damages Actions	182
2.2 Content of the proposals	184
3. Implementing the Proposals	186
3.1 Justifying a competition-specific harmonization of procedural rules for consumer claims	186
3.2 In search of an adequate legal base	189
3.3 In search of the most appropriate legislative instrument	199
4. Implementing Remaining Public Enforcement Proposals	200
5. Conclusion	202
8. Concluding Remarks	205
<i>Selected Bibliography</i>	209
<i>Index</i>	245