

ASPEN CASEBOOK SERIES

LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE

OWEN D. JONES

*New York Alumni Chancellor's Professor of Law &
Professor of Biological Sciences
Vanderbilt University
Director, MacArthur Foundation Research
Network on Law and Neuroscience*

JEFFREY D. SCHALL

*E. Bronson Ingram Professor of Neuroscience
Vanderbilt University*

FRANCIS X. SHEN

*McKnight Land-Grant Professor & Associate Professor
University of Minnesota Law School*



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xxi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxiii
<i>Conventions</i>	xxxi
<i>Additional Resources</i>	xxxiii
 PART 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
 1. Law and Neuroscience: An Overview of the Issues	3
Introduction	3
A. Introducing Neuroscientific Evidence	5
Virginia Hughes, <i>Science in Court: Head Case</i>	5
<i>Van Middlesworth v. Century Bank & Trust Co.</i>	10
B. The Brain on the Stand	14
Jeffrey Rosen, <i>The Brain on the Stand</i>	14
C. Future Possibilities for Law and Neuroscience	26
Stacey Tovino, <i>Functional Neuroimaging and the</i>	
<i>Law: Trends and Directions for Future Scholarship</i>	26
Nita Farahany, <i>The Government is Trying to Wrap</i>	
<i>Its Mind Around Yours</i>	36
 2. Individuals: The Case of the Murdering Brain	41
Introduction	41
A. People v. Weinstein	42
<i>People v. Weinstein</i>	42
B. Inside the Murdering Brain	53
Norman Relkin et al., <i>Impulsive Homicide Associated</i>	
<i>with an Arachnoid Cyst and Unilateral Frontotemporal</i>	
<i>Cerebral Dysfunction</i>	53
Daniel A. Martell, <i>Causal Relation Between Brain Damage</i>	
<i>and Homicide: The Prosecution</i>	54
C. Neuroscience and Legal Excuse	62
Zachary Weiss, <i>The Legal Admissibility of Positron</i>	
<i>Emission Tomography Scans in Criminal Cases:</i>	
<i>People v. Spyder Cystkopf</i>	62
Stephen J. Morse, <i>Brain and Blame</i>	64

3. Groups: The Case of the Adolescent Brain	69
Introduction	69
A. Roper v. Simmons	72
<i>Roper v. Simmons</i> , Brief for the American Psychological Association, and the Missouri Psychological Association as Amici Curiae Supporting Respondent	72
<i>Roper v. Simmons</i>	74
B. Graham v. Florida	84
Letter to E. Joshua Rosenkrantz from Developmental Neuroscientists	84
<i>Graham v. Florida</i> , Brief for the American Psychological Association, American Psychiatric Association, National Association of Social Workers, and Mental Health America in Support of Petitioner	85
<i>Graham v. Florida</i>	87
 PART 2	
BRAIN, BEHAVIOR, AND RESPONSIBILITY	101
 4. Relationships of Law, Science, and Behavior	103
A. Law and Science	103
B. Connecting Law, Science, and Behavior	105
1. The Level of the Group	105
Owen D. Jones & Timothy H. Goldsmith, <i>Law and Behavioral Biology</i>	107
2. The Level of the Individual	116
 5. Behavior and Responsibility: Views from Law and Neuroscience	121
Introduction	121
Patricia Churchland, <i>The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?</i>	122
A. Law's View of the Person	123
Stephen Morse, <i>Neuroscience and the Future of Personhood and Responsibility</i>	123
B. Determinism	129
Jerry A. Coyne, <i>You Don't Have Free Will</i>	129
Hilary Bok, <i>Want to Understand Free Will? Don't Look to Neuroscience</i>	130
Owen D. Jones, <i>The End of (Discussing) Free Will</i>	132
Michael S. Gazzaniga, <i>Free Will Is an Illusion, but You're Still Responsible for Your Actions</i>	133
Paul Bloom, <i>Free Will Does Not Exist. So What?</i>	134
Patricia Churchland, <i>The Big Questions: Do We Have Free Will?</i>	134
C. The Disappearing Person	136
Joshua Greene & Jonathan Cohen, <i>For the Law, Neuroscience Changes Nothing and Everything</i>	136
Robert M. Sapolsky, <i>The Frontal Cortex and the Criminal Justice System</i>	144

6. Neuroscience in the Courtroom: Assessing Scientific Evidence	151
Introduction	151
Neal Feigenson, <i>Brain Imaging and Courtroom Evidence: On the Admissibility and Persuasiveness of fMRI</i>	153
Jane Campbell Moriarty, <i>Flickering Admissibility: Neuroimaging Evidence in the U.S. Courts</i>	154
A. <i>Frye</i> and the “General Acceptance” Test	154
<i>Frye v. United States</i>	154
B. The Federal Rules of Evidence	155
Fed. R. Evid. 401	155
Fed. R. Evid. 402	156
Fed. R. Evid. 403	156
Fed. R. Evid. 702	156
Fed. R. Evid. 703	156
Fed. R. Evid. 704	156
Fed. R. Evid. 706	156
C. The <i>Daubert</i> Trilogy and the “Gatekeeper” Approach	157
<i>Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharma., Inc.</i>	157
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. Joiner</i>	163
<i>Kumho Tire Co., Ltd. v. Carmichael</i>	165
Michael J. Saks & David L. Faigman, <i>Expert Evidence After Daubert</i>	165
D. Criminal Sentencing: The Case of Grady Nelson	169
State of Florida, Motion to Exclude Testimony Regarding Quantitative Electroencephalograms (QEEG)	170
Defendant’s Motion Opposing <i>Frye</i> Hearing	171
Transcript of Oral Ruling on <i>Frye</i> Hearing, <i>Florida v. Nelson</i>	174
Direct Examination of Dr. Thatcher by Defense Attorney Terry Lenamon	175
Testimony of Dr. Epstein, Questions by Assistant State Attorney Mendez	176
E. Neuroscience Evidence in Non-Criminal Contexts	181
<i>Ross v. Schrantz</i>	181
<i>LaMasa v. Bachman</i>	183
F. Effect of Brain Imaging Evidence on Judges and Jurors	186
Martha J. Farah & Cayce J. Hook, <i>The Seductive Allure of “Seductive Allure”</i>	186
Adina L. Roskies, Nicholas J. Schweitzer & Michael J. Saks, <i>Neuroimages in Court: Less Biasing Than Feared</i>	187
 PART 3	
FUNDAMENTALS OF COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE	193
 7. Brain Structure and Brain Function	195
Introduction	195
A. Orientation and Location in the Brain	197
B. Subsystems of the Nervous System	199

C. Cells of the Nervous System	203
1. Neurons	203
2. Glia	205
D. Where Brains Come From	206
E. Organization of the Human Cerebral Cortex	207
1. Overview	207
2. Frontal Lobe	209
3. Parietal Lobe	211
4. Temporal Lobe	212
5. Occipital Lobe	212
F. Organization of Subcortical Systems	213
1. Limbic System	213
2. Basal Ganglia	215
3. Thalamus	215
4. Hypothalamus & Pituitary Gland	216
5. Brainstem — Cerebellum, Pons & Medulla	216
6. Spinal Cord	216
G. Blood Supply to the Brain	217
H. How Neurons Communicate	218
8. Brain Monitoring and Manipulation	221
Introduction	221
A. Brain Structure	222
1. X-Ray	223
2. Computed Axial Tomography	223
3. Magnetic Resonance Imaging	223
4. Diffusion Tensor Imaging	225
B. Brain Function	226
1. Introduction	226
2. Measuring Brain Electrical Signals	227
3. Positron Emission Tomography and Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography	229
4. Functional MRI	231
C. Task Design in Functional Imaging	233
1. Introduction	233
2. Functional Decomposition and Experimental Design	234
3. Task Types	234
4. Blocked Designs	235
5. Event-Related Designs	236
6. Multi-Voxel Pattern Analysis	237
D. Human Brain Manipulation	237
1. Brain Damage	237
2. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation	240
3. Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	240
4. Direct Brain Stimulation	241
5. Pharmacological Manipulation	242

9. Limits and Cautions	245
Introduction	245
A. General Limits and Cautions	247
1. Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging	247
Owen D. Jones et al., <i>Brain Imaging for Legal Thinkers: A Guide for the Perplexed</i>	247
2. Electroencephalography	250
B. Methodological and Analytical Limitations of fMRI	254
1. Limitations in Measurement	254
2. Limitations of fMRI Analysis Procedures	256
a. The Dangers of Multiple Analysis Alternatives	256
Joshua Carp, <i>On the Plurality of (Methodological) Worlds: Estimating the Analytic Flexibility of fMRI Experiments</i>	256
b. The Risk of False Positives in fMRI data	258
Matthew D. Lieberman et al., <i>Correlations in Social Neuroscience Aren't Voodoo: A Reply to Vul et al.</i>	259
C. Critical Perspectives	262
Judith G. Edersheim, Bruce H. Price & Jordan W. Smoller, "Your Honor, My Genes Made Me Do It"	262
Teneille Brown & Emily Murphy, <i>Through a Scanner Darkly: Functional Neuroimaging as Evidence of a Criminal Defendant's Past Mental States</i>	263
 PART 4	
CORE THEMES IN LAW AND NEUROSCIENCE	267
 THE INJURED BRAIN	269
 10. Brain Death	269
Introduction	269
A. Defining Death	270
1. Historical Perspective	270
Elizabeth Price Foley, <i>The Law of Life and Death</i>	270
2. Defining Brain Death	272
Report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Harvard Medical School to Examine the Definition of Brain Death: A Definition of Irreversible Coma	272
3. Uniform Determination of Death Act	273
Uniform Determination of Death Act	273
10 NYCRR §400.16 (2013) Section 400.16. Determination of Death	273
B. Clinical Determination of Brain Death	276
Eelco Wijdicks, <i>The Case Against Confirmatory Tests for Determining Brain Death in Adults</i>	276
C. Coma, Vegetative State, and Minimally Conscious State	277
Joseph J. Fins, <i>Brain Injury: The Vegetative and Minimally Conscious States</i>	278
Ana Smith Iltis & Mark J. Cherry, <i>Death Revisited, Rethinking Death and the Dead Donor Rule</i>	281

D. Brain Death in Court	288
1. Criminal Context	288
<i>People v. Eulo</i>	288
2. Civil Context	293
<i>Hawkins v. DeKalb Med. Ctr., Inc.</i>	293
E. Neuroscience Perspectives on Brain Death	298
Adrian M. Owen et al., <i>A New Era of Coma and Consciousness</i>	298
<i>Science</i>	298
Nicholas D. Schiff et al., <i>Behavioural Improvements with Thalamic Stimulation After Severe Traumatic Brain Injury</i>	301
11. Brain Injury	303
Introduction	303
A. Legal Duties, Assumption of Risk, and Brain Injury	304
1. Amateur Sports	305
<i>Parker v. S. Broadway Athletic Club</i>	305
2. Professional Football	309
Carl Zimmer, <i>The Brain: What Happens to a Linebacker's Neurons?</i>	309
Complaint at 4-19, <i>In Re National Football League Players' Concussion Injury Litigation</i>	312
Reply Memorandum of Defendant at 1-12, <i>In Re National Football League Players' Concussion Injury Litigation</i>	314
B. Defining Brain Injury	317
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke & National Institute of Health, <i>Traumatic Brain Injury: Hope Through Research</i>	317
Doug Bodin et al., <i>Pediatric and Adolescent Concussion: Diagnosis, Management, and Outcomes</i>	318
Julie Louise Gerberding & Sue Binder, <i>Report to Congress on Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Steps to Prevent a Serious Public Health Problem</i>	319
C. Proving Brain Injury	321
1. Mild Traumatic Brain Injury	321
David L. Goldin, <i>"Mild" Brain Injury Litigation: Making the Invisible Visible</i>	321
2. The Use of Brain Science in Proving Brain Injury	324
Shana De Caro & Michael V. Kaplen, <i>Current Issues in Neurolaw</i>	324
David B. Arciniegas, <i>Clinical Electrophysiologic Assessments and Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: State-of-the-Science and Implications for Clinical Practice</i>	327
D. Proving Causation	330
<i>Hendrix v. Evenflo</i>	330
12. Pain and Distress	335
Introduction	335
A. Pain in Law	336
<i>Luchansky v. J. V. Parish, Inc.</i>	336
<i>Wiltz v. Barnhart</i>	337

B. Pain in Neuroscience	343
1. Defining Pain	343
National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Pain: Hope Through Research	343
2. Detecting and Measuring Pain	345
A. Vania Apkarian, <i>The Brain in Chronic Pain: Clinical Implications</i>	345
3. Critical Perspectives on Neuroimaging of Pain	350
Michael E. Robinson, <i>Roland Staud & Donald D. Price, Pain Measurement and Brain Activity: Will Neuroimage Replace Pain Ratings?</i>	350
Sean C. Mackey, <i>Central Neuroimaging of Pain</i>	352
C. Emotional Harm and Mental Injury	353
1. Distinguishing “Bodily” and “Mental” Injuries	354
<i>Garrison v. Bickford</i>	354
<i>Allen v. Bloomfield Hills Sch. Dist.</i>	355
2. Damages and Mitigation	361
Francis X. Shen, <i>Monetizing Memory Science: Neuroscience and the Future of PTSD Litigation</i>	361
Adam J. Kolber, <i>Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening</i>	362
3. Comparative Perspectives	364
Betsy J. Grey, <i>Neuroscience and Emotional Harm in Tort Law: Rethinking the American Approach to Free-Standing Emotional Distress Claims</i>	364
D. The Special Case of Fetal Pain	367
Susan J. Lee et al., <i>Fetal Pain: A Systematic Multidisciplinary Review of the Evidence</i>	368
Testimony Before the United States Congress	368
Louisiana Revised Statutes §40: 1299.30.1. Pain-Capable Unborn Child Protection Act	369
<i>Planned Parenthood of Indiana, Inc. v. Comm’r of Indiana State Dep’t of Health</i>	370

THE THINKING AND FEELING BRAIN 375

13. Memory	375
Introduction	375
A. Organization and Function of Human Memory	376
O. Carter Snead, <i>Memory and Punishment</i>	376
Daniel L. Schacter, <i>The Seven Sins of Memory: Insights from Psychology and Cognitive Neuroscience</i>	382
B. Eyewitness Memory in Court	389
<i>State v. Henderson</i>	389
<i>Perry v. New Hampshire</i>	395
C. False Memories	403
<i>State v. Michaels</i>	404

Daniel M. Bernstein & Elizabeth F. Loftus, <i>How to Tell If a Particular Memory Is True or False</i>	409
D. Cross-Racial Identification	412
<i>Smith v. State</i>	413
14. Emotions	421
Introduction	421
A. The Emotional Brain	422
Eric A. Posner, <i>Law and the Emotions</i>	423
B. The Emotional Defendant	427
<i>State v. Thornton</i>	428
<i>State v. Quick</i>	430
C. The Emotional Juror	433
<i>Booth v. Maryland</i>	433
<i>Payne v. Tennessee</i>	437
Bryan Myers, <i>Psychology Weighs in on the Debate Surrounding Victim Impact Statements and Capital Sentencing: Are Emotional Jurors Really Irrational?</i>	441
D. The Emotional Judge	444
Nomination of Sonia Sotomayor to be an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on the Judiciary	444
E. The Emotional Legislator	447
15. Lie Detection	449
Introduction	449
<i>United States v. Semrau</i>	449
<i>Selvi v. Karnataka</i>	450
A. The Polygraph	452
1. History of the Polygraph	452
Henry T. Greely & Judy Illes, <i>Neuroscience Based Lie Detection: The Urgent Need for Regulation</i>	452
2. Polygraph's Use in Court	453
<i>State v. Lyon</i>	453
<i>United States v. Scheffer</i>	456
3. Polygraph Use in National Defense	459
Office of Counterintelligence, Department of Energy, Polygraph Examination Regulations	459
B. Neuroscience-Based Lie Detection	461
1. Lie Detection Using Electroencephalography (EEG)	461
Testimony of Dr. Lawrence Farwell in <i>Harrington v. State</i>	463
Lawrence A. Farwell & Emanuel Donchin, <i>The Truth Will Out: Interrogative Polygraphy ("Lie Detection") with Event-Related Brain Potentials</i>	467
J. Peter Rosenfeld et al., <i>Simple, Effective Countermeasures to P300-Based Tests of Detection Of Concealed Information</i>	471

2. Lie Detection Using fMRI	473
Francis X. Shen & Owen D. Jones, <i>Brain Scans as Evidence: Truths, Proofs, Lies, and Lessons</i>	473
<i>United States v. Semrau</i>	474
David D. Langleben et al., <i>Brain Activity During Simulated Deception: An Event-Related Functional Magnetic Resonance Study</i>	490
Joshua D. Greene & Joseph M. Paxton, <i>Patterns of Neural Activity Associated With Honest And Dishonest Moral Decisions</i>	493
3. Comparative Perspective: Brain-Based Lie Detection in Indian Courts	495
<i>Maharastra v. Sharma & Khandelwal</i>	495
<i>Selvi v. Karnataka</i>	499
C. Critical Perspectives	504
Frederick Schauer, <i>Neuroscience, Lie-Detection, and the Law</i>	504
Henry T. Greely, <i>Premarket Approval Regulation for Lie Detection: An Idea Whose Time May Be Coming</i>	506
Dov Fox, <i>Brain Imaging and the Bill of Rights: Memory Detection Technologies and American Criminal Justice</i>	509
16. Judging	515
Introduction	515
A. The Neuroscience of Legal Reasoning	516
Joshua W. Buckholtz & René Marois, <i>The Roots of Modern Justice: Cognitive and Neural Foundations of Social Norms and Their Enforcement</i>	518
Jessica M. Salerno & Bette L. Bottoms, <i>Emotional Evidence and Jurors' Judgments: The Promise of Neuroscience for Informing Psychology and Law</i>	526
B. Neuroscience and Racist Judgments	533
Jeffrey Kluger, <i>Race and the Brain</i>	533
Harrison A. Korn, Micah A. Johnson & Marvin M. Chun, <i>Neurolaw: Differential Brain Activity for Black and White Faces Predicts Damage Awards in Hypothetical Employment Discrimination Cases</i>	535
Amy Wax & Philip E. Tetlock, <i>We Are All Racists at Heart</i>	538
THE DEVELOPING AND ADDICTED BRAIN	541
17. Adolescent Brains	541
Introduction	542
A. The Adolescent Brain	542
National Research Council, <i>Reforming Juvenile Justice: A Developmental Approach</i>	544
B. The Administration of Juvenile Justice	552
1. Historical Background	552
Elizabeth S. Scott & Laurence Steinberg, <i>Adolescence and the Regulation of Youth Crime</i>	552

2. Competency	555
Elizabeth S. Scott & Thomas Grisso, <i>Developmental Incompetence, Due Process, and Juvenile Justice Reform</i>	555
MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice, <i>Adolescent Legal Competence in Court</i>	558
Barry C. Feld et al., <i>Adolescent Competence and Culpability: Implications of Neuroscience for Juvenile Justice Administration</i>	561
3. Juvenile Transfer	562
<i>United States v. Juvenile Male #2</i>	562
C. Adolescents, Neuroscience, and Criminal Responsibility	567
<i>State v. Andrews</i>	567
<i>Miller v. Alabama</i>	569
D. Critical Perspectives	579
Laurence Steinberg, <i>Are Adolescents Less Mature Than Adults? Minors' Access to Abortion, the Juvenile Death Penalty, and the Alleged APA "Flip-Flop"</i>	579
Terry A. Maroney, <i>The False Promise of Adolescent Brain Science in Juvenile Justice</i>	582
Francis X. Shen, <i>Neurolegislation & Juvenile Justice</i>	585
18. Addicted Brains	591
Introduction	591
A. Defining Addiction and Dependence	593
American Psychiatric Association, <i>Substance Related and Addiction Disorders</i>	594
Nora D. Volkow et al., <i>Addiction Circuitry in the Human Brain</i>	596
Sally Satel & Scott O. Lilienfeld, <i>Brainwashed: The Seductive Appeal of Mindless Neuroscience</i>	597
Peg O'Conner, <i>The Fallacy of the "Hijacked Brain"</i>	599
B. Addiction and the Law	601
<i>Robinson v. California</i>	601
<i>Powell v. Texas</i>	604
<i>Traynor v. Turnage</i>	610
Richard J. Bonnie, <i>Responsibility for Addiction</i>	612
C. The Neurobiology of Addiction	616
Steven E. Hyman, <i>The Neurobiology of Addiction: Implications for Voluntary Control of Behavior</i>	616
Stephen J. Morse, <i>Voluntary Control of Behavior and Responsibility</i>	619
D. Policy Perspectives	622
1. Drug Policy, Treatment, and Rehabilitation	622
David M. Eagleman, Mark A. Correro & Jyotpal Singh, <i>Why Neuroscience Matters for Rational Drug Policy</i>	622

2. Drug Courts	625
<i>State v. Little</i>	625
Morris B. Hoffman, <i>Problem-Solving Courts and the Psycholegal Error</i>	626

PART 5**THE FUTURE 631**

19. Cognitive Enhancement	633
Introduction	633
A. Defining Enhancement	635
Nick Bostrom & Anders Sandberg, <i>Cognitive Enhancement: Methods, Ethics, Regulatory Challenges</i>	635
B. Does Enhancement Work?	636
M. Elizabeth Smith & Martha J. Farah, <i>Are Prescription Stimulants "Smart Pills"? The Epidemiology and Cognitive Neuroscience of Prescription Stimulant Use by Normal Healthy Individuals</i>	637
Roy Hamilton et al., <i>Rethinking the Thinking Cap</i>	640
Wayne D. Hall & Jayne C. Lucke, <i>Editorial, The Enhancement Use of Neuropharmaceuticals: More Scepticism and Caution Needed</i>	641
C. Enhancing Performance and Manipulating Memory	643
1. Enhancing Performance	643
Editorial Board, <i>Using Adderall Is a Form of Cheating</i>	643
Christopher Meyer, <i>Let Students Use Adderall</i>	644
2. Manipulating Memory	646
a. Memory Dampening	647
Adam J. Kolber, <i>Therapeutic Forgetting: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Memory Dampening</i>	647
b. Memory Enhancement	650
Henry T. Greely et al., <i>Towards Responsible Use of Cognitive-Enhancing Drugs by the Healthy</i>	650
D. The Ethics of Enhancement	654
The President's Council on Bioethics, <i>Beyond Therapy: Biotechnology and the Pursuit of Happiness</i>	654
Henry T. Greely, <i>Remarks on Human Biological Enhancement</i>	658
20. Brain-Machine Interface and Law	667
Introduction	667
A. Development of Brain-Machine Interfaces and Neuroprosthetics	669
<i>Teenager Moves Video Icons Just by Imagination</i>	669
Parag G. Patil & Dennis A. Turner, <i>The Development of Brain-Machine Interface Neuroprosthetic Devices</i>	670
B. Ethical and Legal Implications of Neuroprosthetics	673
Stephen S. Wu & Marc Goodman, <i>Neural Implants and Their Legal Implications</i>	674

C. Military Applications of Brain-Machine Interface	676
National Research Council, Committee on Military and Intelligence Methodology for Emergent Neurophysiological and Cognitive/Neural Research in the Next Two Decades, <i>Emerging Cognitive Neuroscience and Related Technologies</i>	676
Ivan S. Kotchetkov et al., <i>Brain-Computer Interfaces: Military, Neurosurgical, and Ethical Perspective</i>	678
Michael N. Tennison & Jonathan D. Moreno, <i>Neuroscience, Ethics, and National Security: The State of the Art</i>	679
21. Artificial Intelligence and Law	685
A. Artificial Intelligence, Robots, and Agency	685
B. The Development of AI and Robotics	687
<i>Robot Ethics: Morals and the Machine</i>	687
<i>Robots Go to War: March of the Robots</i>	689
C. Robot Rights and Responsibilities: The Personhood of Autonomous Artificial Agents	693
Benjamin Soskis, <i>Man and the Machines: It's Time to Start Thinking About How We Might Grant Legal Rights to Computers</i>	693
Wendell Wallach, <i>From Robots to Techno Sapiens: Ethics, Law and Public Policy in the Development of Robotics and Neurotechnologies</i>	698
Samir Chopra & Laurence White, <i>Artificial Agents — Personhood in Law and Philosophy</i>	701
<i>Autonomous Vehicle Act of 2012</i>	710
D. Automated Attorneys?	712
John Sirman, <i>Artificial Intelligence and the Law</i>	712
Josh Blackman, <i>Robot, Esq.</i>	713
John Markoff, <i>Armies of Expensive Lawyers, Replaced by Cheaper Software</i>	714
Appendix: How to Read a Brain Imaging Study	719
Joshua W. Buckholz, Christopher L. Asplund, Paul E. Dux, David H. Zald, John C. Gore, Owen D. Jones & René Marois, <i>The Neural Correlates of Third-Party Punishment</i>	719
<i>Table of Cases</i>	753
<i>Glossary</i>	755
<i>Author & Name Index</i>	769
<i>Subject Index</i>	775