

Constitutional Law

Seventh Edition

Geoffrey R. Stone

Edward H. Levi Distinguished Service Professor of Law
University of Chicago Law School

Louis Michael Seidman

Carmack Waterhouse Professor of Constitutional Law
Georgetown University Law Center

Cass R. Sunstein

Felix Frankfurter Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

Mark V. Tushnet

William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

Pamela S. Karlan

Kenneth & Harle Montgomery Professor of Public Interest Law
Stanford Law School



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Contents

Preface	xxxiii
Acknowledgments	xxxv
Editorial Notice	xxxix
The Constitution of the United States	xli
Biographical Notes on Selected U.S. Supreme Court Justices	lvii
The Supreme Court since 1789	lxxvii

I

The Constitution and the Supreme Court	1
A. Introduction: Creating a Constitution That Binds the Future	1
Note: Why (and How) Does the Constitution Bind?	2
B. The Origins of the U.S. Constitution	7
1. The Arguments over the New Constitution	9
The Federalist No. 10 (Madison)	11
Note: Madisonian Republicanism	15
The Federalist No. 51 (Madison)	18
Note: Madisonian Republicanism and Checks and Balances	21
Note: Madisonian Republicanism and Contemporary Constitutionalism	23
C. The Basic Framework	25
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	25
Note: <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	32
<i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i>	38
Note: Supreme Court Review of State Courts and State Laws	42

	Note: Judicial Exclusivity in Constitutional Interpretation?	44
D.	The Sources of Judicial Decisions: Text, “Representation-Reinforcement,” and Natural Law	48
	1. Text	48
	District of Columbia v. Heller	48
	Note: Text and “Original Public Meaning”	52
	2. Structure and Improving Democratic Processes	53
	<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	53
	Note: Constitutional Methodology and Interpretation in <i>McCulloch</i>	62
	3. Natural Law and Natural Rights	65
	<i>Calder v. Bull</i>	65
	Note: Natural Law, Moral Argument, and the Supreme Court	67
E.	The Power of Political Control over the Supreme Court	69
	Note: Amendment, Appointment, Impeachment, and the Election Returns	70
	<i>Ex parte McCordle</i>	75
	Note: Political Control over Jurisdiction of Article III Courts	77
	Note: The Power of Reprisal — General Thoughts	81
F.	“Case or Controversy” Requirements and the Passive Virtues	82
	1. Advisory Opinions	83
	2. Standing	85
	<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	85
	<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	89
	<i>Massachusetts v. EPA</i>	97
	Note: The Law of Standing	106
	<i>Elk Grove Unified School District v. Newdow</i>	118
	Note: Prudential Standing	120
	3. Political Questions	121
	<i>Baker v. Carr</i>	121
	Note: The Bases for Finding a Political Question	126
	Note: The Development of Standards for Reviewing Political Fairness	138
	<i>Vieth v. Jubelirer</i>	139
	<i>Bush v. Gore</i>	142
	Note: Political Questions and Partisan Issues	149
	4. Questions of Timing — Ripeness and Mootness	153
G.	The Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court	155
	Note: Jurisdiction, Certiorari, and the U.S. Supreme Court	155

II

Federalism at Work: Congress

and the National Economy

159

A.	The Values of Federalism and Some Techniques for Implementing Them	159
	Note: A Government of Enumerated Powers	159
	Note: The Values of Federalism	161
B.	Doctrinal Fundamentals: Federalism and Judicial Review	165
	<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	165
	Note: <i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	167
	<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart (The Child Labor Case)</i>	169
	<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	171
	Note: Political Constraints versus Judicial Enforcement	172
C.	The Evolution of Commerce Clause Doctrine: The Lessons (?) of History	179
	United States v. E. C. Knight Co.	180
	Houston, East & West Texas Railway v. United States (The Shreveport Rate Cases)	181
	Note: Direct, Indirect, and Stream of Commerce Tests	182
	Champion v. Ames (The Lottery Case)	183
	Note: Prohibiting Interstate Transportation — Proper Regulation or Improper Pretext?	185
	Note: The New Deal Crisis	185
	A. L. A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States	186
	Carter v. Carter Coal Co.	188
	Note: New Deal Legislation and Commerce Clause Tests in the 1930s	191
	NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.	193
	United States v. Darby	196
	Note: The New Deal Legacy	198
	Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States	199
	Katzenbach v. McClung	200
	Note: Federalism and Congressional Motivation	202
	<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	203
	United States v. Morrison	212
	Note: Federalism after the New Deal	214
	<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	218
	Note: Activity and Inactivity	239
	Note: Observations on Court-Imposed Limitations on Congress's Powers	239
D.	State Regulation of Interstate Commerce	240
	1. The Fundamental Framework	240
	Note: The Classical View	240
	Note: The Modern View	242

2. Protection against Discrimination	246
Note: General Considerations	246
<i>City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>	247
Note: Facial/Intentional Discrimination	249
<i>C & A Carbone, Inc. v. Clarkstown</i>	251
Note: Geographic Discrimination	255
<i>West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v. Healy</i>	258
Note: The Alternative of Subsidies	260
Note: Other Doctrines Concerning Discrimination	260
Note: Concluding Observations	264
3. Facially Neutral Statutes with Significant Effects on Interstate Commerce	264
Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission	266
Note: Inferring Intent from Effect	267
<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</i>	269
Note: Facially Neutral Statutes with (Merely?) Disproportionate Effects for Commercial or Social Purposes	272
<i>Kassel v. Consolidated Freightways Corp.</i>	273
Note: Facially Neutral Statutes with (Merely?) Disproportionate Effects for Police Power Purposes	279
Note: Taxation of Interstate Commerce	281
E. Preemption	283
<i>Arizona v. United States</i>	283
Notes on Preemption	288
Note: Concluding Observations	291

III

The Scope of Congress's Powers: Taxing and

Spending, War Powers, Individual Rights,

and State Autonomy

293

A. Regulation through Taxing, Spending, and the War Power	294
1. The Taxing Power	294
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	296
Note: NFIB's Discussion of the Taxing Power	301
2. The Spending Power	301
<i>United States v. Butler</i>	301
Note: The Spending Power and Dual Federalism	306
<i>Steward Machine Co. v. Davis</i>	306

Note: Conditional Spending, Coercion, and the Political Process	309
<i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i>	310
Note: Conditions and Coercion	320
3. The “War” Power	322
Note: Individual Rights and the War Power	324
B. Congress’s Enforcement Power under the Reconstruction Amendments	325
Note: Possible Interpretations of the Section 5 Power	326
<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	327
Note: The Scope of Section 5	329
<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	331
Note: The Roles of Court and Congress	334
Note: The Interaction of Congressional Enforcement Power and the Eleventh Amendment	336
<i>Board of Trustees v. Garrett</i>	338
Note: Congressional Power to Abrogate States’ Sovereign Immunity	339
Note: Congressional Power to Regulate “Private” Action for Civil Rights Purposes	344
C. The Tenth Amendment as a Federalism-Based Limitation on Congressional Power	346
<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	347
Note: Federalism and the Treaty Power	348
Note: The Modern Revival of Tenth Amendment–Based Restraints on Federal Regulation of State and Local Governments	351
<i>Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority</i>	352
Note: From <i>Garcia</i> to <i>New York v. United States</i>	353
<i>New York v. United States</i>	354
<i>Printz v. United States</i>	356
Note: The “Anticommandeering” Principle	358
Note: Concluding Observations on Congress’s Powers	365

IV

The Distribution of National Powers	367
-------------------------------------	-----

A. Introduction	367
The Federalist No. 47 (Madison)	367
The Federalist No. 48 (Madison)	368
Note: The Theory of Separation and Checks and Balances	368

B. Case Study: Presidential Seizure	370
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i> (<i>The Steel Seizure Case</i>)	370
Note: <i>Youngstown</i> and the Power of the President	378
Note: The Relevance of Foreign Law	380
C. Foreign Affairs	382
1. Executive Authority	382
<i>United States v. Curtiss-Wright Corp.</i>	382
<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan</i>	384
<i>Medellin v. Texas</i>	386
Note: The President and Foreign Affairs	388
Note: The Allocation of Warming Authority	389
Note: The “War on Terror” and the Second Gulf War	390
<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	392
Note: The Interplay between the President, Congress, and the Court with Regard to the “War on Terror”	400
Note: Some Unanswered Questions	403
2. Legislative Authority	406
Note: The War Powers Resolution	406
Note: Congressional Control over Agreements with Foreign States — Treaties, Executive Agreements, and Congressional-Executive Agreements	409
D. Domestic Affairs	411
1. Executive Authority	411
<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	412
Note: Executive Privilege and Presidential Immunity	416
Note: The Politics of Impeachment	420
Note: The “Law” of Impeachment	421
2. Legislative Authority	424
Note: The Nondelegation Doctrine and “Quasi-Constitutional” Statutes	424
<i>INS v. Chadha</i>	429
Note: The Legislative Veto	434
Note: Where Do Administrative Agencies “Fit” in the Separation of Powers Scheme?	435
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i>	439
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	441
Note: Congressional Control over Administrative Officials	448
Note: Distribution of National Powers — Final Thoughts	451

V

Equality and the Constitution	453
A. Slavery, Jim Crow, and the Equal Protection Principle	453
1. Slavery and the Constitution	454
<i>State v. Post</i>	455
Note: The Constitutionality of Slavery	457
<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	459
Note: <i>Dred Scott</i> and the Power of Judicial Review	461
2. Reconstruction and Retreat	463
Note: The Work of the Reconstruction Congress	463
Note: The Judicial Reaction	465
<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	468
Note: Separate but Equal	471
3. The Attack on Jim Crow	472
Note: The Road to <i>Brown</i>	473
<i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (Brown I)</i>	476
Note: Justifications and Explanations for <i>Brown</i>	478
<i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka (Brown II)</i>	482
Note: “All Deliberate Speed”	484
4. The Meaning of <i>Brown</i>	485
Note: The Initial Response to <i>Brown</i>	485
Note: The De Jure/De Facto Distinction	
and Limits on Courts’ Remedial Powers	489
B. Equal Protection Methodology: Rational Basis Review	497
<i>New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer</i>	498
Note: Equal Treatment and Relevant Differences	501
Note: Limitations on Permissible Government	
Purposes	503
<i>U.S. Department of Agriculture v. Moreno</i>	504
<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	505
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	506
Note: “Actual Purpose” Review	508
<i>Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery Co.</i>	509
Note: The Means-Ends Nexus	513
<i>Railway Express Agency v. New York</i>	516
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical</i>	517
C. Equal Protection Methodology: Heightened Scrutiny and the Problem of Race	520
1. The Origins and Rationale for Heightened Scrutiny in Race-Specific Classifications That Disadvantage Racial Minorities	521
<i>Strauder v. West Virginia</i>	521
<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	523
<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	527
Note: Doctrinal Evolution in the Scrutiny Applied to Racial Classifications	528

Note: Justifications for Strict Scrutiny of Racial Classifications	530
Note: The Structure of Strict Scrutiny	535
2. Facially Nonracial Classifications That Disadvantage Racial Minorities: When Does Heightened Scrutiny Apply?	540
<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	540
Note: Rational Basis Review of Non–Race-Specific Classifications	542
Note: What Constitutes a Racially Motivated Classification?: Questions of Discriminatory Purpose	544
Note: Distinctive Problems in the Administration of Criminal Justice	551
<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	554
Note: Racial Disparities in Investigating, Charging, and Sentencing	559
3. Race-Specific Classifications Designed to Benefit Racial Minorities	562
Note: The Imposition of Strict Scrutiny	562
<i>Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Peña</i>	567
Note: The Constitutionality of “Benign” Racial Classifications	574
<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	580
Note: The Contemporary Application of Strict Scrutiny	591
<i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	595
Note: The Special Problem of Facially Neutral but Race-Specific Voting Districts	599
Note: The “Special” Case of Indigenous People	605
Note: A Comparative Perspective	606
4. The Synthesis of <i>Brown</i> and Affirmative Action	608
<i>Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1</i>	608
Note: <i>Parents Involved</i> and the Synthesis of Equal Protection Law	624
D. Equal Protection Methodology: Heightened Scrutiny and the Problem of Gender	629
1. The Early Cases	629
2. The Road to Intermediate Scrutiny	631
<i>Reed v. Reed</i>	631
<i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i>	631
Note: From <i>Reed</i> to <i>Craig v. Boren</i> — Evolution and Doctrinal Confusion	633
<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	637
Note: Heightened Scrutiny for Gender Classifications?	642
3. Archaic and Overbroad Generalizations versus “Real” Differences	647
<i>United States v. Virginia</i>	647

Note: “Real Differences” and Formal Equality	654
Nguyen v. Immigration & Naturalization Service	658
Note: The Relevance of “Real Differences”	662
Note: Gender Discrimination as a Two-Edged Sword	666
Califano v. Goldfarb	667
Califano v. Webster	669
Note: The Problem of “Benign” Gender Classifications	670
Note: Sex Discrimination Law and Constitutional Evolution	671
E. Equal Protection Methodology: The Problem of Sexual Orientation	673
Note: The Nature of the Class at Issue	673
<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	675
Note: The Meaning of <i>Romer</i>	683
Note: The Standard of Review	685
F. Equal Protection Methodology: Other Candidates for Heightened Scrutiny	696
1. Alienage	696
<i>Sugarman v. Dougall</i>	697
Note: Strict Scrutiny for Classifications Based on Alienage — Defining the Political Community	700
Note: Alienage and Federal Preemption	703
2. Wealth Classifications	707
Note: Defining the Class	707
Note: Wealth Discrimination and the Problem of Affirmative Rights	709
3. Other Disadvantaged Groups	714
<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	714
Note: Evaluating the Claims of Other Disadvantaged Groups	716

VI

Implied Fundamental Rights	721
A. Introduction	721
Note: Theories of Constitutional Interpretation — “Originalism” and Its Critics	722
B. The Privileges or Immunities Clause	729
<i>The Slaughter-House Cases</i>	730
Note: The Demise of the Privileges or Immunities Clause	735
C. The Due Process Clause and the Incorporation Controversy	739
Note: Due Process and Incorporation	739
<i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i>	741
D. Substantive Due Process: The Protection of Economic Interests and the Question of Redistribution	750

Note: The Road to <i>Lochner</i>	750
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	753
Note: The (Alleged?) Vices of <i>Lochner</i>	758
Note: The <i>Lochner</i> Era, 1905–1934	764
<i>Nebbia v. New York</i>	766
<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	767
Note: The Context of <i>West Coast Hotel</i> and the 1930s Political and Economic Climate	768
Note: The End of an Era	769
<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	770
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma</i>	771
<i>Ferguson v. Skrupa</i>	772
Note: Pluralism, Naked Wealth Transfers, and the Courts	773
E. Fundamental Interests and the Equal Protection Clause	776
<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>	776
Note: The Fundamental “Right to Have Offspring”	778
1. Voting	780
a. Denial of the “Right to Vote”	780
<i>Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections</i>	781
Note: Is the Right to Vote “Fundamental”?	782
<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District</i>	784
Note: <i>Kramer</i> and Its Progeny	785
b. Dilution of the “Right to Vote”	788
<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>	789
Note: <i>Reynolds</i> and Its Progeny	792
<i>City of Mobile v. Bolden</i>	795
Note: Vote Dilution and the Interests of Groups	799
c. Denial of “Access to the Ballot”	803
<i>Williams v. Rhodes</i>	803
Note: <i>Williams</i> and Its Progeny	804
2. Access to the Judicial Process	807
<i>Griffin v. Illinois</i>	807
<i>Douglas v. California</i>	807
Note: Fundamental Interests and the Criminal Justice System	808
<i>Boddie v. Connecticut</i>	812
Note: Access to the Judicial Process in Civil Cases	813
3. Travel	814
<i>Shapiro v. Thompson</i>	814
<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>	818
Note: The Right to Travel as a “Fundamental Interest”	819
Note: “Penalizing” the Right to Travel	820
4. Welfare	824
<i>Dandridge v. Williams</i>	825
Note: <i>Dandridge</i> and the Judicial Role in the Welfare Context	826

5. Education	828
<i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i>	828
Note: The <i>Rodriguez</i> Formulation	834
<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	835
Note: <i>Plyler</i> and the Equal Protection Clause	840
F. Modern Substantive Due Process: Privacy, Personhood, and Family	841
1. The Right of Privacy	842
<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	842
Note: <i>Griswold</i> and the Right of Privacy	850
2. Abortion	854
<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	854
Note: The Abortion Decision	859
<i>Maher v. Roe</i>	866
<i>Harris v. McRae</i>	868
Note: The Abortion-Funding Cases	869
Note: Abortion Regulation between <i>Roe</i> and <i>Casey</i>	870
<i>Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey</i>	873
Note: <i>Casey</i> and the Role of the Court	892
<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>	893
Note: The Future of Abortion Rights	907
3. Family and Other “Privacy” Interests	909
<i>Moore v. City of East Cleveland</i>	909
Note: Family and Association	910
<i>Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	913
Note: Families, Marriage, and Tradition	915
Note: Intimate and Cultural Association	920
<i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i>	921
<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	922
Note: Homosexuality, Sexual Liberty, and Substantive Due Process	932
Note: Same-Sex Marriage	934
4. The Right to Die	937
<i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health</i>	937
Note: The Right to Die	943
<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	944
Note: Assisted Suicide	951
Note: Liberty, Property, and Substantive Due Process	951
G. Procedural Due Process	953
1. Liberty and Property Interests	953
Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth	954
<i>Perry v. Sindermann</i>	955
<i>Cleveland Board of Education v. Loudermill</i>	956
Note: Defining “Liberty” and “Property”	958
Note: Statutory Entitlements, Property, and Natural Liberty	959

2. What Process Is Due	962
<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i>	962
Note: Balancing Tests and the Due Process Clause	966
Note: Procedural Due Process and “Legislative” Determinations	972
H. The Contracts and Takings Clauses	973
1. The Contracts Clause	973
Note: Early Interpretive Problems	973
<i>Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell</i>	976
Note: Market Ordering and Constitutional Interpretation	979
<i>United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey</i>	980
<i>Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus</i>	982
Note: <i>United States Trust</i> , <i>Spannaus</i> , and the Nonrevival of the Contracts Clause	984
2. The Eminent Domain Clause	986
<i>Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff</i>	986
Note: The Public Use Requirement and the Takings Clause	988
<i>Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon</i>	991
<i>Miller v. Schoene</i>	993
<i>Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City</i>	995
<i>Keystone Bituminous Coal Association v. DeBenedictis</i>	1000
Note: “Takings” and the Police Power	1001
Note: <i>Penn Central</i> , <i>Keystone</i> , Takings, and Related Problems	1004
<i>Nollan v. California Coastal Commission</i>	1008
Note: <i>Nollan</i> , Unconstitutional Conditions, and Other Problems	1010
<i>Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council</i>	1013
Note: <i>Lucas</i> , the Environment, and Regulatory Takings	1020
<i>Palazzolo v. Rhode Island</i>	1022
Note: <i>Palazzolo</i> — Final Thoughts	1026

VII

Freedom of Expression	1027
A. Introduction	1027
Note: The History of Free Expression	1027
Note: The Philosophy of Free Expression	1032
Note: Organization	1037
B. Content-Based Restrictions: Dangerous Ideas and Information	1038
1. Speech That “Causes” Unlawful Conduct	1038
<i>Shaffer v. United States</i>	1039
<i>Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten</i>	1040

	<i>Schenck v. United States</i>	1043
	Note: <i>Shaffer</i> , <i>Masses</i> , and <i>Schenck</i>	1044
	<i>Frohwerk v. United States</i>	1047
	<i>Debs v. United States</i>	1047
	<i>Abrams v. United States</i>	1048
	Note: <i>Abrams</i> and the Emergence of the Holmes-Brandeis Tradition	1051
	<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	1053
	Note: “Abstract Doctrine” versus “Urging to Action”	1056
	<i>Whitney v. California</i>	1057
	Note: The Brandeis Concurrence and the Road to <i>Dennis</i>	1060
	<i>Dennis v. United States</i>	1062
	Note: <i>Dennis</i> and the Communist “Conspiracy”	1067
	Note: The Road to <i>Brandenburg</i>	1070
	<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	1072
	Note: The <i>Brandenburg</i> Formulation	1074
	Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project	1076
	Note: Abridgment of Speech Other Than by Direct Criminal Prohibition	1078
2.	Speech That Provokes a Hostile Audience Reaction	1083
	<i>Terminiello v. Chicago</i>	1083
	<i>Cantwell v. Connecticut</i>	1084
	<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	1085
	Note: The Search for Mechanisms of Control	1088
	<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	1091
	Note: Fighting Words	1093
	Note: The <i>Skokie</i> Controversy	1096
	<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i>	1098
3.	Classified Information	1100
	<i>New York Times Co. v. United States; United States v. Washington Post Co.</i>	1100
	Note: The Pentagon Papers Controversy	1108
	Note: The <i>Progressive</i> Controversy	1112
	Note: The WikiLeaks Controversy	1114
	Note: Dangerous Ideas and Information — Final Thoughts	1115
C.	Overbreadth, Vagueness, and Prior Restraint	1116
1.	Overbreadth and Vagueness	1116
	<i>Gooding v. Wilson</i>	1116
	Note: Overbreadth	1119
	Note: Vagueness	1122
2.	Prior Restraint	1124
	<i>Lovell v. Griffin</i>	1125
	Note: Licensing as Prior Restraint	1126
	<i>Near v. Minnesota</i>	1129
	Note: Injunction as Prior Restraint	1131
D.	Content-Based Restrictions: “Low” Value	1134
1.	False Statements of Fact	1135
	<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	1135

Note: “The Central Meaning” of <i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	1140
Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts; Associated Press v. Walker	1143
Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.	1144
Note: Public and Private Figures, Public and Private Speech	1146
Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss Builders	1148
Note: Other False Statements of Fact	1148
United States v. Alvarez	1149
Hustler Magazine v. Falwell	1153
2. “Nonnewsworthy” Disclosures of “Private” Information	1155
<i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i>	1155
Note: Invasion of Privacy and the First Amendment	1158
3. Threats	1161
<i>Bridges v. California</i>	1161
<i>Watts v. United States</i>	1162
<i>Planned Parenthood v. American Coalition of Life Activists</i>	1163
4. Commercial Advertising	1165
<i>Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council</i>	1166
Note: <i>Virginia Pharmacy</i> and “the Free Flow of Commercial Information”	1172
Note: Truthful, Nondeceptive Commercial Advertising after <i>Virginia Pharmacy</i>	1174
<i>Central Hudson Gas v. Public Service Commission of New York</i>	1175
Note: Truthful, Nondeceptive Commercial Advertising	1176
Note: Other Regulations of Commercial Advertising	1180
5. Obscenity	1183
<i>Roth v. United States; Alberts v. California</i>	1184
Note: Obscenity and Free Expression	1185
Note: Developments in the Law of “Obscenity” — 1957–1973	1189
<i>Miller v. California</i>	1192
<i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i>	1195
Note: The 1973 Reformulation and Its Aftermath	1198
6. Child Pornography, Animal Cruelty, and Violent Expression	1201
<i>New York v. Ferber</i>	1201
<i>Ashcroft v. The Free Speech Coalition</i>	1203
Note: Child Pornography	1206
<i>United States v. Stevens</i>	1208
<i>Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association</i>	1211
7. The Lewd, the Profane, and the Indecent	1214
<i>Cohen v. California</i>	1215
Note: Profanity, <i>Cohen</i> , and the Captive Audience	1218
<i>Erznoznik v. Jacksonville</i>	1221

FCC v. Pacifica Foundation	1222
Note: Fleeting Expletives	1224
Sable Communications, Inc. v. FCC	1225
Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union	1226
Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union	1227
Note: “Indecent” Expression	1229
Note: Zoning Theaters with Adult Movies and Bars with Nude Dancing	1232
8. Hate Speech and Pornography	1236
<i>Beauharnais v. Illinois</i>	1236
Note: Group Defamation and “Hate Speech”	1239
<i>R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul</i>	1243
<i>Wisconsin v. Mitchell</i>	1248
Note: <i>R.A.V.</i> and <i>Mitchell</i>	1248
<i>Virginia v. Black</i>	1251
Note: <i>R.A.V.</i> and <i>Black</i>	1258
Note: Pornography and the Victimization of Women	1259
Note: “Low” Value Speech — Final Thoughts	1262
E. Content-Neutral Restrictions: Limitations on the Means of Communication and the Problem of Content-Neutrality	1263
1. General Principles	1264
<i>Schneider v. State</i>	1264
<i>Martin v. City of Struthers</i>	1265
<i>Kovacs v. Cooper</i>	1266
<i>Metromedia, Inc. v. San Diego</i>	1266
<i>City of Ladue v. Gilleo</i>	1267
<i>Bartnicki v. Vopper</i>	1268
Note: The Search for Principles	1270
Note: The Meaning of “Content-Neutrality”	1271
2. Speech on Public Property: The Public Forum	1274
a. The Public Forum: Streets and Parks	1275
<i>Commonwealth v. Davis</i>	1275
<i>Hague v. CIO</i>	1276
<i>Schneider v. State</i>	1277
Note: Regulating the Public Forum	1278
Note: Devices for Regulating the Public Forum	1282
b. The Public Forum: Other Publicly Owned Property	1285
<i>Adderley v. Florida</i>	1285
Note: “No Less Than a Private Owner of Property”?	1287
<i>International Society for Krishna Consciousness v. Lee</i>	1291
Note: Modern Public Forum Doctrine	1293
Note: The Right to a “Private” Forum	1294
c. The Public Forum: Unequal Access and the Problem of Content-Neutrality	1296
<i>Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley</i>	1296
Note: <i>Mosley</i> and the “Equality” of Ideas	1298
<i>Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights</i>	1300
Note: <i>Lehman</i> and the Limits of <i>Mosley</i>	1304

Perry Educators' Association v. Perry Local Educators' Association	1305
Note: Quintessential, Designated, and Nonpublic Forums	1307
Note: Religious Expression and the Meaning of "Viewpoint Neutrality"	1311
Christian Legal Society Chapter v. Martinez	1314
d. Unequal Access and the Problem of Government Speech	1317
Southeastern Promotions v. Conrad	1317
Board of Education, Island Trees Union Free School District v. Pico	1319
Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Washington	1321
Rust v. Sullivan	1322
Note: The Implications of <i>Rust</i>	1324
National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley	1326
Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez	1329
Note: The Reach of Government Speech	1332
3. Symbolic Conduct	1337
<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	1338
Note: Draft Card Burning and the First Amendment	1342
Note: Flag Desecration and Misuse	1348
Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.	1353
City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.	1355
Note: Other Forms of Symbolic Speech	1357
4. Regulation of Political Solicitation, Contribution, Expenditure, and Activity	1359
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	1359
Note: <i>Buckley</i> and the Problem of Abridging Speech to "Enhance" the Electoral Process	1367
Note: Subsidy and Disclosure	1370
Note: Contribution Limits, PACs, and Political Parties	1374
McConnell v. Federal Election Commission	1375
Davis v. Federal Election Commission	1378
Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom Club PAC v. Bennett	1378
Note: Corporate Contributions and Expenditures	1381
<i>Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission</i>	1385
Note: Reflections on <i>Citizens United</i>	1397
Note: Additional Regulation of the Electoral Process	1400
Note: Regulating the Political Activities of Public Employees	1402
5. Other Means of Expression: Litigation, Association, and the Right Not to Speak	1405
NAACP v. Button	1405

Note: Litigation and the First Amendment	1406
NAACP v. Alabama	1408
Roberts v. U.S. Jaycees	1409
Note: Association and the First Amendment	1410
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale	1411
Note: The Meaning of <i>Dale</i>	1414
PruneYard Shopping Center v. Robins	1415
Note: Compelled Affirmation, Expression, and Association: The Right Not to Speak	1415
Note: Content-Neutral Restrictions — Final Thoughts	1419
F. Freedom of the Press	1420
1. A “Preferred” Status for the Press?	1420
2. A Right to “Gather” News?	1421
<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>	1421
Note: A Right to Gather News?	1425
Note: A Press Right of Access to Information?	1427
Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia	1429
Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court	1431
Note: Variations on the Press Right of Access	1432
3. Differential Treatment of the Press	1433
Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue	1433
Note: Differential Treatment	1436
4. Regulating the Press to “Improve” the Marketplace of Ideas	1437
Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo	1437
Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC	1438
Note: Regulating the Airwaves	1440
Turner Broadcasting System Inc. v. FCC	1444
Note: <i>Turner</i> and the Regulation of Cable	1447
Note: The First Amendment in Cyberspace	1447
Note: Free Expression — Final Thoughts	1451

VIII

The Constitution and Religion	1453
A. Introduction: Historical and Analytical Overview	1453
Everson v. Board of Education	1453
Note: The History of the Religion Clauses	1455
Note: General Approaches to the Religion Clauses	1462
Note: Defining Religion	1467
B. The Establishment Clause	1470
1. The Anticoercion Principle	1471
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	1471
Note: The Anticoercion Principle	1479

2. The Nonendorsement Principle and De Facto Establishments	1482
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i>	1482
Note: The Nonendorsement Principle	1488
3. Impermissible Purposes: The School Prayer Cases	1496
Note: Problems with a “Purpose” Test	1496
4. Facially Neutral Statutes That Incidentally Aid Religion: Permissible and Impermissible Effects	1502
Note: The Problem and Its Background	1502
<i>Mueller v. Allen</i>	1504
Note: From <i>Aguilar</i> to <i>Mitchell</i>	1508
<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	1513
Note: Purpose and Effect in Aid to Nonpublic Education — Benevolent Neutrality?	1515
Note: Concluding Observations	1520
C. The Free Exercise Clause: Required Accommodations	1521
Note: From <i>Reynolds</i> to <i>Smith</i>	1521
<i>Braunfeld v. Brown</i>	1522
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1522
<i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i>	1523
Note: Problems of Mandatory Accommodation	1525
<i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources v. Smith</i>	1528
Note: Should Accommodation Be Required?	1536
D. Permissible Accommodation	1538
<i>Corporation of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos</i>	1539
<i>Texas Monthly v. Bullock</i>	1543
<i>Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet</i>	1544
Note: When — If Ever — Should Accommodations of Religion Be Permitted?	1546
E. Free Exercise, Free Speech, and the Right of Expressive Association	1549
<i>Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church & School v. EEOC</i>	1549
Note: The Relation between the Religion Clauses and Other Protections of Expression	1550
Note: Concluding Observations	1554

IX

State Action, Baselines, and the

Problem of Private Power

1555

A. State Action, Federalism, and Individual Autonomy	1556
1. State Action and Federalism	1556
The Civil Rights Cases	1556

	Note: Federalism and the Substantive Content of the State Action Doctrine	1559
	2. State Action and Individual Autonomy	1560
B.	Pure Inaction and the Theory of Governmental Neutrality	1562
	1. Pure Inaction	1562
	DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services	1562
	<i>Flagg Brothers v. Brooks</i>	1564
	Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co.	1568
	Note: The Problem of the Passive State	1569
	2. Judicial Action and the Theory of Government Neutrality	1573
	<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	1573
	Note: <i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i> , State Inaction, and the Theory of Government Neutrality	1576
C.	Constitutionally Impermissible Departures from Neutrality: State Subsidization, Approval, and Encouragement	1582
	1. State Subsidization of Private Conduct	1582
	<i>Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority</i>	1582
	Note: Subsidies, Penalties, and the Search for a Baseline	1584
	Rendell-Baker v. Kohn	1587
	San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Committee	1588
	Note: State Action as Coercion or Significant Encouragement	1589
	2. State Licensing and Authorization	1592
	Public Utilities Commission v. Pollak	1592
	<i>Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Iris</i>	1592
	<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	1594
	Note: Licensing, Authorization, and Entwinement as State Action	1596
D.	Constitutionally Required Departures from Neutrality: The Public Function Doctrine	1597
	<i>Marsh v. Alabama</i>	1597
	Note: The "Public Function" Theory and the Passive State	1599
	<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	1604
	Note: Public Functions as "Exclusive Prerogatives" of the State	1605
E.	Unconstitutional Conditions and the Benefit/Burden Distinction	1608
	Rust v. Sullivan	1609
	Maher v. Roe	1610
	National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius	1611

Nollan v. California Coastal Commission	1613
Note: Benefits, Burdens, and Coercion	1614
F. Some Final Thoughts	1620
Table of Cases	1621
Table of Authorities	1641
Index	1665