

The First Amendment

Fifth Edition

Geoffrey R. Stone

Edward H. Levi, Distinguished Service Professor
University of Chicago Law School

Louis M. Seidman

Carmack Waterhouse Professor of Constitutional Law
Georgetown University Law Center

Cass R. Sunstein

Robert Walmsley University Professor
Harvard Law School

Mark V. Tushnet

William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law
Harvard Law School

Pamela S. Karlan

Kenneth and Harle Montgomery Professor of Public Interest Law
Stanford Law School

Contents

Preface	xxi
Acknowledgments	xxiii
Editorial Notice	xxxiii
The First Amendment	xxxv
The Constitution of the United States	xxxvii
Biographical Notes on Selected U.S. Supreme Court Justices	lv
First Amendment Timeline	lxxv

PART I

Freedom of Expression	1
-----------------------	---

I

The History and Philosophy of Free Expression	3
Note: The History of Free Expression	3
Note: The Philosophy of Free Expression	8
Note: Organization	16

II

Content-Based Restrictions: Dangerous Ideas and Information	17
A. Speech that “Causes” Unlawful Conduct	17
Shaffer v. United States	19
<i>Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten</i>	19
<i>Schenck v. United States</i>	22
Note: <i>Shaffer</i> , <i>Masses</i> , and <i>Schenck</i>	24
Frohwerk v. United States	27
Debs v. United States	28
<i>Abrams v. United States</i>	29

Note: <i>Abrams</i> and the Emergence of the Holmes/Brandeis Tradition	32
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	35
Note: “Abstract Doctrine” versus “Urging to Action”	38
<i>Whitney v. California</i>	40
Note: The Brandeis Concurrence and the Road to <i>Dennis</i>	43
<i>Dennis v. United States</i>	46
Note: <i>Dennis</i> and the Communist “Conspiracy”	51
Note: The Road to <i>Brandenburg</i>	54
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	56
Note: The <i>Brandenburg</i> Formulation	59
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project	61
Note: Abridgment of Speech Other Than by Direct Criminal Prohibition	63
B. Speech that Provokes a Hostile Audience Reaction	69
<i>Terminiello v. Chicago</i>	69
<i>Cantwell v. Connecticut</i>	70
<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	72
Note: The Search for Mechanisms of Control	74
<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	78
Note: Fighting Words	81
Note: The <i>Skokie</i> Controversy	84
<i>Snyder v. Phelps</i>	87
C. Classified Information	90
<i>New York Times Co. v. United States; United States v. Washington Post Co.</i>	90
Note: The Pentagon Papers Controversy	99
Note: The <i>Progressive</i> Controversy	105
Note: The WikiLeaks Controversy	108
Note: Dangerous Ideas and Information — Final Thoughts	109

III

Overbreadth, Vagueness, and Prior Restraint	111
A. Overbreadth and Vagueness	111
<i>Gooding v. Wilson</i>	111
Note: Overbreadth	115
Note: Vagueness	119
B. Prior Restraint	121
<i>Lovell v. Griffin</i>	122
Note: Licensing as Prior Restraint	123
<i>Near v. Minnesota</i>	127
Note: Injunction as Prior Restraint	129

IV

Content-Based Restrictions: “Low” Value Speech 133

A. False Statements of Fact	135
<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	135
Note: “The Central Meaning” of <i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	141
<i>Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts</i> ; <i>Associated Press v. Walker</i>	145
<i>Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.</i>	146
Note: Public and Private Figures, Public and Private Speech	149
<i>Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss Builders</i>	151
Note: Other False Statements of Fact	151
<i>United States v. Alvarez</i>	152
<i>Hustler Magazine v. Falwell</i>	156
B. “Nonnewsworthy” Disclosures of “Private” Information	158
<i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i>	159
Note: Invasion of Privacy and the First Amendment	162
C. Threats	165
<i>Bridges v. California</i>	166
<i>Watts v. United States</i>	167
<i>Planned Parenthood v. American Coalition of Life Activists</i>	167
D. Commercial Advertising	171
<i>Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council</i>	172
Note: <i>Virginia Pharmacy</i> and “the Free Flow of Commercial Information”	179
<i>Central Hudson Gas v. Public Service Commission of New York</i>	183
Note: Truthful, Nondeceptive Commercial Advertising	184
Note: Other Regulations of Commercial Advertising	189
E. Obscenity	193
<i>Roth v. United States</i> ; <i>Alberts v. California</i>	194
Note: Obscenity and Free Expression	195
Note: Developments in the Law of “Obscenity” — 1957–1973	200
<i>Miller v. California</i>	203
<i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i>	206
Note: The 1973 Reformulation and Its Aftermath	210

F. Child Pornography, Animal Cruelty, and Violent Expression	214
New York v. Ferber	214
Ashcroft v. The Free Speech Coalition	216
Note: Child Pornography	220
United States v. Stevens	220
Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association	224
G. The Lewd, the Profane, and the Indecent	229
Cohen v. California	229
Note: Profanity, <i>Cohen</i> , and the Captive Audience	233
Erznoznik v. Jacksonville	237
FCC v. Pacifica Foundation	238
Note: Fleeting Expletives	241
Sable Communications, Inc. v. FCC	241
Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union	242
Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union	243
Note: "Indecent" Expression	246
Note: Zoning Theaters with Adult Movies and Bars with Nude Dancing	250
H. Hate Speech and Pornography	255
Beauharnais v. Illinois	255
Note: Group Defamation and "Hate Speech"	257
R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul	263
Wisconsin v. Mitchell	268
Note: R.A.V. and <i>Mitchell</i>	269
Virginia v. Black	271
Note: R.A.V. and <i>Black</i>	280
Note: Pornography and the Victimization of Women	280
Note: "Low" Value Speech — Final Thoughts	285

V

Content-Neutral Restrictions: Limitations on the Means of Communication and the Problem of Content-Neutrality	287
---	-----

A. General Principles	287
Schneider v. State	287
Martin v. City of Struthers	289
Kovacs v. Cooper	290
Metromedia, Inc. v. San Diego	290
City of Ladue v. Gilleo	292
Bartnicki v. Vopper	293
Note: The Search for Principles	295
Note: The Meaning of "Content-Neutrality"	296

B. Speech on Public Property: The Public Forum	301
1. The Public Forum: Streets and Parks	302
Commonwealth v. Davis	302
Hague v. CIO	303
Schneider v. State	304
Note: Regulating the Public Forum	305
Note: Devices for Regulating the Public Forum	313
2. The Public Forum: Other Publicly Owned Property	317
Adderley v. Florida	317
Note: "No Less Than a Private Owner of Property"?	319
International Society for Krishna Consciousness v. Lee	323
Note: Modern Public Forum Doctrine	326
Note: The Right to a "Private" Forum	327
3. The Public Forum: Unequal Access and the Problem of Content-Neutrality	330
Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley	330
Note: Mosley and the "Equality" of Ideas	332
Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights	335
Note: Lehman and the Limits of Mosley	339
Perry Educators' Association v. Perry Local Educators' Association	341
Note: Quintessential, Designated, and Nonpublic Forums	343
Note: Religious Expression and the Meaning of "Viewpoint Neutrality"	347
Christian Legal Society Chapter v. Martinez	351
4. Unequal Access and the Problem of Government Speech	355
Southeastern Promotions v. Conrad	355
Board of Education, Island Trees Union Free School District v. Pico	357
Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Washington	359
Rust v. Sullivan	360
Note: The Implications of Rust	363
National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley	366
Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez	369
Note: The Reach of Government Speech	373
Agency for International Development v. Alliance for Open Society International	379
C. Symbolic Conduct	383
United States v. O'Brien	384
Note: Draft Card Burning and the First Amendment	390
Note: Flag Desecration and Misuse	396

Barnes v. Glen Theatre, Inc.	402
City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.	405
Note: Other Forms of Symbolic Speech	406
D. Regulation of Political Solicitation, Contribution, Expenditure, and Activity	409
<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	409
Note: <i>Buckley</i> and the Problem of Abridging Speech to "Enhance" the Electoral Process	419
Note: Subsidy and Disclosure	423
Note: Contribution Limits, PACs, and Political Parties	426
McConnell v. Federal Election Commission	428
Davis v. Federal Election Commission	431
Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom Club PAC v. Bennett	432
Note: Corporate Contributions and Expenditures	435
<i>Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission</i>	441
Note: Reflections on <i>Citizens United</i>	454
McCutcheon v. Federal Election Commission	459
Note: Additional Regulation of the Electoral Process	468
Note: Regulating the Political Activities of Public Employees	471
E. Other Means of Expression: Litigation, Association, and the Right Not to Speak	476
NAACP v. Button	476
Note: Litigation and the First Amendment	478
NAACP v. Alabama	479
Roberts v. U.S. Jaycees	480
Note: Association and the First Amendment	481
Boy Scouts of America v. Dale	483
Note: The Meaning of <i>Dale</i>	486
Pruneyard Shopping Center v. Robins	487
Note: Compelled Affirmation, Expression, and Association: The Right Not to Speak	488
Note: Content-Neutral Restrictions — Final Thoughts	494

VI

Freedom of the Press	497
A. A "Preferred" Status for the Press?	497
B. A Right to "Gather" News?	499
<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>	499

Note: A Right to Gather News?	503
Note: A Press Right of Access to Information?	507
Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia	509
Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court	512
Note: Variations on the Press Right of Access	512
C. Differential Treatment of the Press	513
Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue	514
Note: Differential Treatment	516
D. Regulating the Press to “Improve” the Marketplace of Ideas	518
Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo	518
Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC	520
Note: Regulating the Airwaves	522
Turner Broadcasting System Inc. v. FCC	527
Note: <i>Turner</i> and the Regulation of Cable	530
Note: The First Amendment in Cyberspace	531
Note: Free Expression — Final Thoughts	535

PART II

The Constitution and Religion	537
-------------------------------	-----

VII

Historical and Analytical Overview	539
Everson v. Board of Education	539
Note: The History of the Religion Clauses	542
Note: General Approaches to the Religion Clauses	549
Note: Defining Religion	556

VIII

The Establishment Clause	561
A. The Anticoercion Principle	562
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	562
Note: The Anticoercion Principle	571

B. The Nonendorsement Principle and de Facto Establishments	574
<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i>	574
Note: The Nonendorsement Principle	582
C. Impermissible Purposes: The School Prayer Cases	593
Note: Problems with a Purpose Test	593
D. Facially Neutral Statutes that Incidentally Aid Religion: Permissible and Impermissible Effects	600
Note: The Problem and Its Background	600
<i>Mueller v. Allen</i>	603
Note: From <i>Aguilar</i> to <i>Mitchell</i>	607
<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	613
Note: Purpose and Effect in Aid to Nonpublic Education — Benevolent Neutrality?	616
Note: Concluding Observations	622

IX

The Free Exercise Clause: Required Accommodations	623
Note: From <i>Reynolds</i> to <i>Smith</i>	623
<i>Braunfeld v. Brown</i>	624
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	625
<i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i>	626
Note: Problems of Mandatory Accommodation	628
<i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources v. Smith</i>	631
Note: Should Accommodation Be Required?	640

X

Permissible Accommodation	645
<i>Corporation of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos</i>	646
<i>Texas Monthly v. Bullock</i>	651
Board of Education of Kiryas Joel Village School District v. Grumet	652
Note: When — If Ever — Should Accommodations of Religion Be Permitted?	654
Note: Free Exercise, Free Speech, and the Right of Expressive Association	658

Hosanna-Tabor Evangelical Lutheran Church & School v. EEOC	658
Note: The Relation Between the Religion Clauses and Other Protections of Expressions	659
Note: Concluding Observations	664
Table of Cases	665
Table of Authorities	671
Index	681