

The *Amicus Curiae* in International Criminal Justice

Sarah Williams
Hannah Woolaver
and
Emma Palmer

• H A R T •

OXFORD • LONDON • NEW YORK • NEW DELHI • SYDNEY

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------|
| Foreword | v |
| Acknowledgements | vii |
| Table of Abbreviations | xv |
| Table of Cases and Decisions | xix |
| Table of Treaties, Legislation and Selected Primary Materials | xlix |
| 1. International Criminal Tribunals, Legitimacy and the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 1 |
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| II. Why International Criminal Tribunals? And Which Ones? | 3 |
| III. Legitimacy in International Criminal Justice | 5 |
| IV. Legitimacy and the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 17 |
| V. Method and Chapter Overview | 22 |
| 2. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in Comparative Perspective | 25 |
| I. Adopting a Functional Approach | 25 |
| II. Standing to Bring a Claim | 27 |
| A. Standing Rules in International and Regional Institutions | 27 |
| B. Standing at the National Level | 29 |
| III. Joinder of Claims and Cases | 30 |
| IV. Intervention | 31 |
| A. Intervention at the International Level | 31 |
| i. Intervention as of Right | 31 |
| ii. Intervention as a Matter of Discretion | 31 |
| iii. Status and Rights of Interveners | 35 |
| iv. Participation in Advisory Proceedings | 35 |
| B. Intervention in National Level Systems | 36 |
| i. Intervention as of Right | 36 |
| ii. Intervention as a Matter of Discretion | 37 |
| C. Rights Attached to Intervention | 41 |
| D. Intervention in Criminal Proceedings | 42 |
| V. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> : A Friend of the Court | 45 |
| A. The Traditional <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Model | 45 |
| B. Transplantation and Adaptation of the Traditional <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 46 |
| C. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in Civil Law Jurisdictions | 49 |
| D. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in Criminal Proceedings | 52 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| E. | The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in International Institutions | 54 |
| i. | Closed Institutions: The ICJ and ITLOS..... | 54 |
| ii. | A Conflicted Position: The WTO..... | 58 |
| iii. | Open Institutions: Investor-State Investment Arbitration Panels and Regional Human Rights Tribunals..... | 60 |
| F. | Impact of the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 67 |
| VI. | Relevance of Comparative Practice to International Criminal Tribunals | 72 |
| 3. | The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in International Criminal Tribunals: An Introduction | 75 |
| I. | Overview | 75 |
| II. | Standing in International Criminal Tribunals..... | 75 |
| A. | Standing to Initiate an Investigation | 75 |
| B. | Joinder | 78 |
| C. | Intervention | 78 |
| III. | History of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participation in International Criminal Tribunals | 79 |
| A. | Post WWII Institutions..... | 79 |
| B. | ICTY, the ICTR and the SCSL..... | 80 |
| C. | International Criminal Court | 81 |
| D. | STL and ECCC..... | 84 |
| E. | Other Hybrid and Internationalised Courts..... | 86 |
| F. | Conclusion | 89 |
| IV. | The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Prosecutor and the Prosecutor as <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 90 |
| A. | The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Prosecutor | 90 |
| B. | The Prosecutor as <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 91 |
| V. | Limits on <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participation..... | 93 |
| A. | Core Judicial Competence..... | 93 |
| B. | Defence Rights: The Counterbalance to Participation | 96 |
| VI. | The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> and Other Mechanisms for Expertise, Representation and Communication | 97 |
| A. | Participation of Victims | 98 |
| i. | Overview | 98 |
| ii. | Victim Participation at the ICC | 99 |
| iii. | Victim Participation – The ECCC and STL | 100 |
| iv. | Conclusion: Victim Participation and the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 102 |
| B. | Representation in Reparations Proceedings at the ICC | 103 |
| C. | Expert Witnesses..... | 104 |
| i. | Appointing Expert Witnesses | 104 |
| ii. | Who is an Expert Witness?..... | 106 |
| iii. | Expert Witnesses and the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 107 |
| D. | Institutional Actors..... | 109 |
| VII. | Conclusion | 111 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> in International Criminal Tribunals in Practice..... | 112 |
| I. Introduction | 112 |
| II. Who Can Participate as an <i>Amicus Curiae</i> ? | 113 |
| III. When Can an <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participate?..... | 114 |
| A. 'At any Time of Proceedings' | 114 |
| B. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Practice Over the Life of an Institution | 117 |
| IV. How do <i>Amici Curiae</i> Participate? | 118 |
| A. Process for Admission of <i>Amici Curiae</i> | 118 |
| i. Invitations, Open Calls and Voluntary Applications..... | 118 |
| ii. Role of the Parties in the Approval Process | 120 |
| B. Modes of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participation..... | 121 |
| C. Managing <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participation | 122 |
| D. Status, Rights and Responsibilities of the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 124 |
| i. Status and Rights of the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 124 |
| ii. Duties of the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 126 |
| V. Substantive Criteria for Admitting <i>Amici Curiae</i> | 127 |
| A. The General Criterion for Admission | 127 |
| B. Fair Trial | 132 |
| i. Trial Without Undue Delay | 132 |
| ii. Equality of Arms | 133 |
| C. Expeditious and Efficient Proceedings | 136 |
| D. Duplication | 137 |
| E. Timeliness | 138 |
| F. The Stage of Proceedings | 138 |
| G. The Impact of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Participation on the Parties | 141 |
| H. Legal or Factual Submissions | 142 |
| I. Relevance..... | 143 |
| J. Nature, Novelty and Importance of the Issues Raised | 144 |
| K. Independence..... | 146 |
| L. Impartiality and the Presence or Absence of an Interest | 148 |
| M. Expertise and Experience | 149 |
| N. Availability of Alternative Avenues | 152 |
| O. Professionalism and Ethics | 153 |
| P. Representativeness and Plurality of Voices | 153 |
| Q. Background of the Judges | 156 |
| R. The Function an <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Will be Performing..... | 158 |
| VI. Impact of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Submissions | 159 |
| A. Minimal Influence..... | 159 |
| B. Moderate Influence | 160 |
| C. Significant Influence..... | 163 |
| VII. Participation in ICC Reparations Proceedings..... | 165 |
| A. The Nature of Reparations Proceedings and Identifying the Parties..... | 166 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| B. Institutional Participants..... | 167 |
| C. Legal Basis for Participation by Other Actors: Article 75(3) or Rule 103?..... | 170 |
| D. Differences in Practice in Reparations Phase | 175 |
| i. Rates of Acceptance and Variations in Procedure..... | 175 |
| ii. What Functions are <i>Amici Curiae</i> Performing? | 176 |
| iii. Influence of Submissions in Reparations Proceedings..... | 178 |
| VIII. Conclusions | 178 |
| 5. Civil Society Actors as <i>Amici Curiae</i> | 180 |
| I. Introduction | 180 |
| II. Overview of Civil Society Actors at International Criminal Tribunals | 184 |
| A. Non-Governmental Organisations..... | 184 |
| B. Academics | 187 |
| C. International Organisations | 191 |
| D. Individuals..... | 193 |
| III. The Expertise Function and Civil Society Actors..... | 194 |
| A. Legal or Factual Expertise?..... | 196 |
| B. Identification of Expertise of Civil Society <i>Amici</i> | 198 |
| C. Independence and Impartiality of Civil Society <i>Amici</i> in the Expertise Function | 200 |
| IV. Representation of Interests by Civil Society as <i>Amici Curiae</i> | 202 |
| V. The Communicative Function and Civil Society | 207 |
| VI. Impact of Civil Society <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Submissions..... | 212 |
| VII. Conclusion | 215 |
| 6. The <i>Amicus Curiae</i> and the Defence | 218 |
| I. Introduction | 218 |
| II. Overview of Defence <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Practice | 220 |
| III. The Defence and the Provision of Expertise through the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> | 224 |
| IV. The Use of the <i>Amicus Curiae</i> to Represent the Interests of the Defence..... | 230 |
| A. Where the Accused has no Right to Participate | 234 |
| B. Proceedings <i>in Absentia</i> | 237 |
| C. Self-Representing Accused..... | 240 |
| V. The Communicative Function and the Defence | 248 |
| VI. Conclusion | 252 |
| 7. Representing State Interests..... | 254 |
| I. Introduction | 254 |
| II. Overview of State and International Organisation <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Practice | 256 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. State and International Organisation <i>Amici</i> Providing Expertise | 258 |
| IV. The State or International Organisation <i>Amici</i> Representing an Interest | 260 |
| A. Representation of Nationals | 260 |
| B. Representing a <i>State</i> Interest..... | 263 |
| i. State Interests Arising in Trial Proceedings..... | 263 |
| ii. State Interests Arising in Related Proceedings | 268 |
| a. Jurisdiction and Admissibility | 269 |
| b. Cooperation and Non-cooperation Proceedings..... | 273 |
| c. Head of State Immunity..... | 275 |
| V. State <i>Amici</i> Performing a Communicative Function | 276 |
| VI. The Challenge of Engaging Reluctant or Resistant States..... | 287 |
| VII. Conclusion | 289 |
| 8. Conclusion | 292 |
| <i>Annex A: Methodology for Data Collection and Analysis</i> | 300 |
| <i>Annex B: Table of Amicus Curiae Rules and Directions</i> | 311 |
| <i>Annex C: Practical Guidance</i> | 327 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 342 |
| <i>Index</i> | 353 |