

UNIVERSITY CASEBOOK SERIES®

# COPYRIGHT

CASES AND MATERIALS

NINTH EDITION

ROBERT A. GORMAN

Kenneth W. Gemmill Professor of Law Emeritus  
University of Pennsylvania

JANE C. GINSBURG

Morton L. Janklow Professor of  
Literary and Artistic Property Law  
Columbia University School of Law

R. ANTHONY REESE

Chancellor's Professor of Law  
University of California, Irvine

 FOUNDATION  
PRESS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE TO THE NINTH EDITION .....	V
TABLE OF CASES.....	XXI
<b>Chapter 1. The Concept of Copyright .....</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Historical Perspective.....	1
1. England and the Statute of Anne .....	1
2. The Colonies and the Constitution .....	3
3. The First United States Copyright Statute.....	5
4. Statutory Revision .....	7
5. The 1909 Act.....	7
6. The 1976 Act.....	8
7. International Copyright Protection and Its Impact on U.S. Copyright Legislation .....	10
8. Subsequent Amendments to the 1976 Copyright Act.....	12
B. General Principles .....	13
United States Constitution .....	13
Report of the Register of Copyrights on the General Revision of the U.S. Copyright Law.....	13
Z. Chafee, Reflections on the Law of Copyright.....	16
Economic Analysis of Copyright Doctrine.....	19
W. Landes & R. Posner, The Economic Structure of Intellectual Property Law.....	22
Burrow-Giles Lithographic Co. v. Sarony .....	30
Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co. ....	36
C. Overview of Copyright Law.....	41
1. Nature of Copyright .....	41
2. Subject Matter of Copyright & Standards for Protection.....	42
a. In General .....	42
b. Compilations & Derivative Works .....	43
3. Duration, Ownership, and Formalities.....	43
a. Duration of Copyright .....	43
b. Ownership.....	45
c. Notice and Registration of Copyright .....	46
4. Scope of Exclusive Rights Under Copyright.....	47
a. Exclusive Rights .....	47
b. Copyright Litigation.....	50
5. Limitations on the Exclusive Rights Under Copyright .....	51
a. Exemptions and Compulsory Licenses .....	51
b. Fair Use .....	53
6. Remedies.....	54
7. Structure and Operations of the Copyright Office.....	55
D. Distinctions: Patents.....	57
Patent Statute.....	57
Alfred Bell & Co. v. Catalda Fine Arts .....	60
E. Distinctions: Trademarks.....	65
Trade-Mark Cases.....	65
Trademarks and the Lanham Act.....	68

Lanham Act .....	69
Frederick Warne & Co. v. Book Sales, Inc. ....	72
F. Distinctions: Chattels .....	80
Forward v. Thorogood .....	80
<b>Chapter 2. Copyrightable Subject Matter .....</b>	<b>85</b>
A. In General .....	85
House Report .....	85
1. Original Works of Authorship .....	88
a. What Is Authorship? .....	88
b. Originality .....	101
House Report .....	101
Magic Marketing v. Mailing Services of Pittsburgh .....	102
B. Kaplan, An Unhurried View of Copyright .....	107
2. Fixation in Tangible Form .....	110
House Report .....	110
Performers' Right of Fixation .....	113
B. The "Idea/Expression Dichotomy" .....	120
House Report .....	120
Baker v. Selden .....	121
Bikram's Yoga College of India, L.P. v. Evolation Yoga, LLC .....	128
Morrissey v. Procter & Gamble Co. ....	132
C. Facts and Compilations .....	135
House Report .....	135
Feist Publications, Inc. v. Rural Telephone Service .....	136
1. Factual Narratives .....	149
Nash v. CBS, Inc. ....	149
2. Compilations .....	157
CCC Information Services v. Maclean Hunter Market Reports, Inc. ....	162
3. Database Protection .....	173
Assessment Technologies of WI, LLC v. WIREDdata, Inc. ....	173
Extra-Copyright Protection of Databases .....	176
4. Maps .....	178
Mason v. Montgomery Data, Inc. ....	178
D. Derivative Works .....	187
1. What Is a Derivative Work? .....	187
2. Originality in Derivative Works .....	197
L. Batlin & Son v. Snyder .....	197
E. Computer Programs .....	214
House Report .....	214
Final Report of the National Commission on New Technological Uses of Copyrighted Works .....	215
Apple Computer, Inc. v. Franklin Computer Corp. ....	219
Lotus Development Corp. v. Borland International, Inc. ....	228
Oracle America v. Google, Inc. ....	238
F. Pictorial, Graphic and Sculptural Works .....	246
1. Photographs .....	246
Mannion v. Coors Brewing Company .....	246

2.	The Problem of Applied Art.....	261
a.	Background.....	261
	Draft, Second Supplementary Report of the Register of Copyrights on the General Revision of the U.S. Copyright Law .....	261
	Design-Protection Legislation .....	265
b.	The Current Statutory Regime .....	267
	House Report .....	268
c.	What Is a “Useful Article”? .....	269
d.	Separability .....	272
	Pivot Point International, Inc. v. Charlene Prods., Inc. ....	274
G.	Architectural Works.....	299
	Protection Under the 1976 Copyright Act.....	299
	The Architectural Works Copyright Protection Act of 1990 .....	301
	House Report, Architectural Works Copyright Protection Act .....	303
	Decisions Applying the Architectural Works Copyright Protection Act .....	304
H.	Characters .....	311
	Anderson v. Stallone .....	313
	Gaiman v. McFarlane .....	320
	Klinger v. Conan Doyle Estate, Ltd. ....	323
I.	Sound Recordings.....	329
	House Report.....	329
	On the Distinction Between a Sound Recording and the Musical Composition or Other Work Fixed in the Recording.....	330
	Newton v. Diamond .....	330
J.	Government Works and Other Public Policy Issues.....	334
1.	Government Works .....	334
	House Report .....	335
	The Copyright Status of State-Authored Materials.....	337
	Veeck v. Southern Bldg. Code Cong. Internat’l, Inc. ....	339
2.	Other Public Policy Issues.....	354
	Mitchell Bros. Film Group v. Cinema Adult Theater .....	354
	<b>Chapter 3. Ownership .....</b>	<b>361</b>
A.	Initial Ownership.....	361
1.	Authorship Status.....	361
	Lindsay v. R.M.S. Titanic .....	361
2.	Authorship as an Economic Concept: Works Made for Hire .....	364
a.	Employee-Created Works .....	366
	Community for Creative Non-Violence v. Reid .....	366
	“Within The Scope of Employment” .....	379
	Work for Hire Under the 1976 Act and the “Teacher Exception” .....	379
b.	Specially Ordered or Commissioned Works .....	384
	The Nine Statutory Categories for a Commissioned Work .....	384

If a Work Is “Specially Ordered or Commissioned” Within § 101(2), at What Point in the Parties’ Relationship Must a Contract Making It a “Work for Hire” Be Executed? .....	385
3. Authorship as an Intellectual Concept: Joint Works.....	387
Thomson v. Larson.....	387
B. Transfer of Copyright Ownership .....	403
1. Divisibility and Formal Requirements .....	403
Divisibility .....	404
Effects Associates v. Cohen .....	405
Recordation of Transfers and Other Documents .....	412
2. Scope of Grant .....	413
Cohen v. Paramount Pictures Corp. ....	413
Boosey & Hawkes Music Publishers, Ltd. v. Walt Disney Co.....	417
Random House, Inc. v. Rosetta Books, LLC.....	425
HarperCollins Publishers LLC v. Open Road Integrated Media, LLP .....	430
New York Times Company, Inc. v. Tasini .....	437
Copyright Transfer “By Operation of Law” .....	446
<b>Chapter 4. Formalities .....</b>	<b>451</b>
A. History and Policy Considerations .....	451
1. The Policy Debate .....	451
2. The Gradual—But Incomplete—Phasing-Out of U.S. Formalities .....	453
B. Publication and Notice Before the 1976 Act .....	454
Estate of Martin Luther King, Jr., Inc. v. CBS, Inc. ....	459
C. 1976 Act Solutions as to Publication and Notice .....	470
1. “Publication”: Definition and Contexts.....	470
2. The Notice Requirement.....	472
House Report.....	472
Notice for Government Works and Collective Works .....	477
D. Deposit and Registration.....	479
1. Deposit for Library of Congress .....	479
2. Registration .....	480
a. Procedure .....	480
i. In General .....	480
ii. Misrepresentations.....	483
iii. Relationship of Deposit Copy to the “Work” .....	485
iv. Group Registration.....	486
b. Registration as Prerequisite to Infringement Suit .....	487
c. When Is a Work “Registered” .....	488
d. Incentives for Registration .....	492
e. Pre-Registration .....	492
E. Recordation of Transfers .....	494
F. Formalities Before and After the Berne Convention Act .....	494
G. Further Policy Considerations .....	496
“Orphan Works” .....	496
Alternative Notice Systems.....	503

<b>Chapter 5. Duration and Renewal, and Termination of Transfers</b>	<b>505</b>
A. Duration and Renewal	505
1. The Policy Debate	505
Z. Chafee, Reflections on the Law of Copyright	505
House Report	507
Sonny Bono Copyright Term Extension Act	509
Eldred v. Ashcroft	510
2. Copyright Duration Under the 1976 Act, as Amended in 1998	530
a. Works Created or Unpublished After 1977	530
House Report	531
b. 1976 Act Treatment of Works First Published Under the 1909 Act	533
Renewal	533
B. Ringer, Renewal of Copyright	535
The Statutory Renewal Provisions	536
Automatic Renewal of Works Published Between 1964 and 1977	542
c. Works in the Public Domain Prior to January 1, 1978 and Restoration of Copyrights in Foreign Works	545
Golan v. Holder	548
The Transition from the 1909 Act to the 1976 Act and Its Amendments	568
B. Duration and Derivative Works	569
Russell v. Price	570
Stewart v. Abend	572
C. Termination of Transfers	577
1. In General	577
House Report	578
Scorpio Music S.A. v. Willis	583
2. Scope of the Termination Right	592
a. Transfers, Renewals, and Terminations	592
b. “Any Agreement to the Contrary”	594
c. Termination and Same-Sex Marriage	602
3. Effect of Termination: The Derivative Works Exception	604
L. Bently & J. Ginsburg, “The Sole Right . . . Shall Return to the Authors”: Anglo-American Authors’ Reversion Rights from the Statute of Anne to Contemporary U.S. Copyright	605
4. General Review	608
Termination Time Line	608
<b>Chapter 6. Exclusive Rights Under Copyright</b>	<b>611</b>
A. The Right to Reproduce the Work in Copies and Phonorecords Under § 106(1)	611
House Report	611

1.	The Right to Make Copies .....	614
a.	What Is a “Copy”? .....	614
	Fixation in Digital Media .....	614
	Cartoon Network LP v. CSC Holdings, Inc. ....	620
b.	Proving Infringement.....	631
i.	Analytical Framework .....	631
ii.	Proof of Copying.....	633
	Bright Tunes Music Corp. v. Harrisongs Music, Ltd. ....	633
	Price v. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc. ....	636
	Circumstantial Proof of Copying .....	643
iii.	Proving That Copying Infringed.....	648
	On the Difference Between Proving Copying and Proving Infringement .....	648
	Distinguishing Idea and Expression .....	653
	Herbert Rosenthal Jewelry Corp. v. Kalpakian .....	654
	Nichols v. Universal Pictures Corp. ....	660
	Sheldon v. Metro-Goldwyn Pictures Corp.....	666
	Blehm v. Jacobs .....	678
	Who Is an “Ordinary Observer”? .....	686
	Approaches to “Substantial Similarity” .....	688
	Computer Associates International, Inc. v. Altai, Inc. ....	693
	Harney v. Sony Pictures Television, Inc. ....	705
	Steinberg v. Columbia Pictures Industries.....	715
	Authors Reproducing Works in Which They No Longer Own the Copyright .....	723
2.	The Right to Make Phonorecords.....	732
a.	What Is a “Phonorecord”? .....	732
b.	Musical Compositions: The Compulsory License Under § 115 .....	733
	House Report .....	734
	The Royalty Rate, the Copyright Royalty Judges, and the “Harry Fox License” .....	736
c.	Reproduction Rights in Sound Recordings .....	742
	A Statutory Exemption for the Private Copying of Sound Recordings.....	746
B.	The Right to Prepare Derivative Works Under § 106(2).....	750
1.	Nature of the Derivative Work Right .....	750
	P. Goldstein, Derivative Rights and Derivative Works in Copyright .....	750
2.	The Scope of the Derivative Works Right.....	755
	House Report.....	755
	P. Samuelson, The Quest for a Sound Conception of Copyright’s Derivative Work Right.....	756
	Horgan v. Macmillan, Inc. ....	757
	Lee v. A.R.T. Co.....	762
	Micro Star v. Formgen Inc.....	766

3.	Limitations on the Derivative Works Right.....	770
	The “Family Movie Act of 2005”.....	770
	Section 117: Adaptations of Computer Programs.....	771
4.	Moral Rights.....	773
	Gilliam v. American Broadcasting Cos.....	774
a.	Federal Law Protection of Moral Rights.....	781
i.	What Is a “Work of Visual Art”?.....	783
	Pollara v. Seymour.....	789
	Phillips v. Pembroke Real Estate, Inc.....	792
ii.	What Rights Does VARA Protect?.....	802
	Massachusetts Museum of Contemporary Art Foundation v. Büchel.....	802
b.	State Protection of Moral Rights.....	806
	Wojnarowicz v. American Family Ass’n.....	808
C.	The Right to Distribute Copies and Phonorecords Under § 106(3) ....	811
	House Report.....	812
1.	The Purpose and Application of the Distribution Right.....	812
	Public Distribution Through Digital Technologies.....	813
2.	The First Sale Doctrine (Exhaustion of the Distribution Right) and Its Exceptions.....	826
	Vernor v. Autodesk, Inc. ....	827
	UMG Recordings, Inc. v. Augusto.....	835
	A “Digital First Sale Doctrine”?.....	840
	The Record Rental and Computer-Software Rental Amendments of 1984 and 1990.....	844
	<i>Droit de Suite</i> .....	847
3.	The § 602(a) Importation Right, and Its Relationship to the Distribution Right.....	850
	Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ....	851
D.	Rights of Public Performance and Display Under § 106(4), (5), (6)....	873
1.	The Meaning of “Perform” Under the 1976 Act.....	875
	House Report.....	875
	U.S. v. American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (Applications of RealNetworks, Inc., Yahoo! Inc.).....	876
2.	“Public” Performances Under the 1976 Act.....	880
	House Report.....	880
	Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc. v. Aveco, Inc. ....	881
	American Broadcasting Cos., Inc. v. Aereo, Inc. ....	886
3.	Performing Rights Societies.....	901
	Ocasek v. Heggland.....	902
	The Performing Rights Societies: Their Operations and Antitrust Issues.....	906
4.	The Digital Performance Right in Sound Recordings . . . and Its Limitations.....	910
a.	The Digital Transmission Public Performance Right.....	910
i.	Enactment of the Digital Transmission Public Performance Right.....	910
ii.	1998 Webcasting Amendment.....	911



iii.	Broadcasters' Transmissions .....	912
iv.	Royalty Rates .....	914
v.	Webcaster Settlement Acts .....	915
vi.	Royalty Distributions .....	916
b.	Public Performances of Pre-1972 Sound Recordings .....	918
c.	When Is a Public Performance/Display (Not) Also a Reproduction and Distribution of Copies? .....	920
5.	The Right of Public Display .....	922
	House Report .....	923
	Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc. ....	927
6.	Limitations on the Rights of Public Performance and Display .....	930
a.	Certain Nonprofit Performances and Displays .....	930
	House Report .....	933
	Distance Education and the § 110(2) Exemption .....	936
b.	The § 110(5) Exemption .....	937
c.	Secondary Transmissions, Including by Cable and Satellite—§§ 111, 119 .....	940
d.	"Jukebox" Public Performances—§ 116 .....	941
e.	Public Broadcasting—§ 118 .....	942
f.	Are Compulsory Licenses and Other Limitations on the Performance and Display Rights Consistent with International Obligations? .....	943

<b>Chapter 7. Fair Use .....</b>	<b>949</b>
A. Background .....	949
	House Report .....
	950
B. Application of Fair Use Doctrine to Creation of New Works .....	951
	Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc. ....
	951
	Blanch v. Koons .....
	976
	Harper & Row Publishers, Inc. v. Nation Enterprises .....
	1000
	Fair Use and the Fact/Expression Distinction .....
	1014
	Additional Fair Use Considerations .....
	1027
	Sega Enterprises, Ltd. v. Accolade, Inc. ....
	1031
C. Application of Fair Use Doctrine to New Technologies .....	1041
1. Photocopying by Commercial and Noncommercial Intermediaries .....	1041
	Princeton University Press v. Michigan Document Services, Inc. ....
	1043
	Cambridge Univ. Press v. Patton .....
	1058
	Copying by Nonprofit Libraries .....
	1072
	J. Besek, Updating § 108 for Digital Preservation .....
	1076
2. Digital Copying by Commercial Intermediaries .....	1079
	Perfect 10, Inc. v. Amazon.com, Inc. ....
	1079
	Mass Digitization .....
	1088
	Authors Guild v. Google, Inc. ....
	1088
3. Copying by End Users .....	1112
	Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc. ....
	1112
	A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. ....
	1125

<b>Chapter 8. Secondary Liability.....</b>	<b>1143</b>
A. General Principles .....	1143
Fonovisa, Inc. v. Cherry Auction, Inc. ....	1143
B. Facilitating Infringement by Others .....	1148
Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc. ....	1149
A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc. ....	1155
Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. v. Grokster, Ltd. ....	1161
C. Secondary Liability of Internet Service Providers.....	1182
1. In General.....	1182
Religious Technology Center v. Netcom On-Line	
Communication Services, Inc. ....	1182
2. Service Provider Liability Under the Statutory Safe	
Harbors .....	1186
Viacom International, Inc. v. YouTube, Inc. ....	1190
Capitol Records, LLC v. Vimeo, LLC .....	1210
Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc. v. Fung .....	1222
Lenz v. Universal Music Corp. ....	1241
Subpoena to Obtain the Names of Alleged Online	
Infringers .....	1250
<b>Chapter 9. Enforcement of Copyright.....</b>	<b>1255</b>
A. Remedies.....	1255
1. Injunctions.....	1255
a. Irreparable Harm .....	1257
b. Balance of Hardships .....	1266
c. Public Interest .....	1267
d. Scope of Injunction .....	1269
2. Damages .....	1270
a. Actual Damages and Infringer's Profits .....	1270
House Report .....	1271
Davis v. The Gap, Inc. ....	1271
Frank Music Corp. v. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc. ....	1280
b. Statutory Damages .....	1289
House Report .....	1290
Right to Jury Trial for Statutory Damages Claims .....	1306
3. Costs and Attorney's Fees .....	1308
4. Procedural Issues .....	1315
a. Jurisdiction .....	1315
b. Standing.....	1319
c. Statute of Limitations.....	1325
d. State Sovereign Immunity.....	1329
5. Criminal Liability .....	1332
B. Technological Protection Measures .....	1335
1. Protection Against Circumvention.....	1336
a. What § 1201 Protects .....	1339
i. What Is "Access"? .....	1339
MDY Industries, LLC v. Blizzard Entertainment,	
Inc. ....	1343

ii.	What Is a “Technological Protection Measure”?.....	1352
	RealNetworks, Inc. v. Streambox, Inc.....	1352
iii.	What Is a “Work Protected Under This Title”? .....	1358
iv.	What Constitutes a Criminally Actionable Violation of § 1201?.....	1362
b.	Exceptions and Limitations.....	1363
i.	Statutory Scheme .....	1363
ii.	Caselaw .....	1369
	Universal City Studios, Inc. v. Reimerdes.....	1369
2.	Copyright Management Information.....	1379
a.	What Constitutes Protected Copyright Management Information? .....	1382
	Murphy v. Millennium Radio Group LLC .....	1382
b.	Where Must Copyright Management Information Appear in Order to Be Protected? .....	1387
c.	What Level of Knowledge or Intent Is Required to Violate § 1202?.....	1390
C.	Overenforcement: Misuse.....	1393
	Video Pipeline, Inc. v. Buena Vista Home Entertainment, Inc. ....	1395
<b>Chapter 10. Federal Preemption of State Law .....</b>		<b>1401</b>
A.	State Laws Restricting Copying .....	1401
B.	Supreme Court Preemption Decisions in Intellectual Property Cases.....	1409
	Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc.....	1419
C.	Copyright Preemption Under § 301 of the 1976 Act.....	1423
	House Report.....	1425
1.	Works Coming Within the Subject Matter of Copyright .....	1429
	Toney v. L’Oreal USA, Inc. ....	1429
	Equivalent Subject Matter .....	1433
2.	Rights Equivalent to Copyright .....	1436
a.	Misappropriation.....	1436
	National Basketball Ass’n v. Motorola, Inc. ....	1436
	Barclays Capital, Inc. v. Theflyonthewall.com.....	1447
b.	Contract .....	1453
	ProCD, Inc. v. Zeidenberg.....	1453
	Montz v. Pilgrim Films & Television, Inc. ....	1459
c.	Conversion of Chattels; Trade Secret .....	1469
	Ehat v. Tanner.....	1469
3.	A Suggested Preemption Analysis .....	1475
	Broader Displacement of State Law by “Conflict” Analysis.....	1478
<b>Chapter 11. International Dimensions of Copyright.....</b>		<b>1487</b>
A.	Introduction.....	1487
B.	Early History of U.S. International Copyright Relations .....	1489
	H. Sandison, The Berne Convention and the Universal Copyright Convention: The American Experience .....	1489

C.	International Conventions and Agreements .....	1493
1.	The Structure of the Leading Multilateral Copyright Treaties .....	1493
2.	Other Major Multilateral Treaties Related to Copyright .....	1497
3.	Bilateral Copyright Arrangements .....	1498
D.	U.S.-Based Copyright Actions with an International Dimension ....	1500
1.	Protection of Alien Authors .....	1500
2.	Personal Jurisdiction over Offshore Actors Allegedly Infringing U.S. Copyrights .....	1509
	Penguin Group (USA) Inc. v. American Buddha .....	1511
3.	Jurisdiction of U.S. Courts to Adjudicate Copyright Claims Presenting an Extraterritorial Element .....	1514
	London Film Productions, Ltd. v. Intercontinental Communications, Inc. ....	1514
4.	When U.S. Courts Exercise Jurisdiction over Claims Presenting Extraterritorial Elements, What Law Applies .....	1522
a.	To Determine Copyright Ownership of a Foreign Work....	1522
	Itar-Tass Russian News Agency v. Russian Kurier, Inc. ....	1522
b.	To Infringements Occurring, at Least in Part, Beyond U.S. Borders.....	1529
	Subafilms, Ltd. v. MGM-Pathé Communications Co.....	1529
	Tire Engineering and Distribution, LLC v. Shandong Linglong Rubber Company, Ltd. ....	1537
	<b>General Review Problems.....</b>	<b>1549</b>
	<b>INDEX .....</b>	<b>1561</b>