

Larry D. Barnett

# Societal Agents in Law

A Macrosociological Approach

palgrave  
macmillan

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Whence Law?</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1.1	<i>A Macrosociological Treatment of Law</i>	2
1.1.2	<i>Other Lines of Inquiry</i>	6
1.1.3	<i>The Thesis Illustrated: Federal Law in the United States</i>	13
1.2	<i>United States Supreme Court</i>	13
1.3	<i>Constitutional Law</i>	14
1.3.1	<i>Jury Service by Women</i>	15
1.3.2	<i>Adultery</i>	21
1.3.3	<i>Death Penalty</i>	27
1.4	<i>Legislation</i>	30
1.4.1	<i>Race Discrimination in Employment: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</i>	31
1.4.2	<i>Religious Freedom Restoration Act</i>	36
1.5	<i>Some Concluding Comments</i>	41
	<i>Appendix: Quantitative Studies of Societal Determinants of Law</i>	43
<b>2</b>	<b>From Framework to Theory</b>	<b>79</b>
2.1	<i>Introduction</i>	79
2.2	<i>Cornerstone Concepts</i>	80
2.3	<i>Sociological Agents in the Content of Law</i>	86
2.3.1	<i>Religion</i>	89
2.3.2	<i>Culture</i>	91
2.3.3	<i>Knowledge</i>	103

2.3.4	<i>Social Disorder</i>	109
2.3.5	<i>Societal Fragmentation</i>	110
2.3.6	<i>Population Structure</i>	114
2.4	<i>A Final Comment</i>	116
<b>3</b>	<b>Equal Rights Amendment</b>	143
3.1	<i>The ERA Proposal</i>	143
3.2	<i>The Societal Context of the ERA</i>	144
3.3	<i>The ERA in a Macrosociological Framework</i>	147
<b>4</b>	<b>Ages in Constitutional Law</b>	161
4.1	<i>Law as an Empirical Sociological Measure</i>	161
4.2	<i>The Treatment of Sex and Pregnancy Under the U.S. Constitution</i>	162
4.2.1	<i>Sex Distinctions and Gender Roles</i>	164
4.2.2	<i>Pregnancy Prevention and Termination</i>	166
4.2.3	<i>Potential Sociological Causes</i>	167
4.3	<i>The Treatment of Government Support for Religion Under the U.S. Constitution</i>	172
4.3.1	<i>The Establishment Clause and Its Interpretation</i>	173
4.3.2	<i>Potential Sociological Causes</i>	174
4.4	<i>A Potential Intensifier of Historical Eras in Constitutional Law</i>	176
4.5	<i>Theory, Prediction, and Historical Eras in Constitutional Law</i>	178
	<b>Index</b>	195

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Sex differential in school enrollment rates: United States. Source: see note 111	19
Fig. 1.2	Never-married men and women: United States. Source: see notes 145 and 146	24
Fig. 1.3	Homicide rates in the United States. Source: see notes 173 and 174	29
Fig. 1.4	Religiousness in the United States. Source: see notes 237 to 242	39
Fig. 2.1	Patents granted in the United States for inventions. Source: see note 88	104
Fig. 3.1	Male-female difference in college completion. Source: see note 32	146
Fig. 4.1	Cases on sex distinctions and gender roles. Source: see note 16	164
Fig. 4.2	Cases on pregnancy prevention and termination. Source: see note 22	166
Fig. 4.3	Female labor force participation rate: United States. Source: see note 37	170
Fig. 4.4	Sex ratio: United States. Source: see note 49	172
Fig. 4.5	Cases on the establishment clause. Source: see note 62	174
Fig. 4.6	Persons 15–44 years old in the U.S. population. Source: see note 89	177

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Failure rates of first marriages, by first-marriage cohort, length of first marriage, and sex: United States	25
Table 1.2	Geographic distribution (in percentages) of Blacks in the United States, by year	34
Table 2.1	Types of law-molding sociological agents	87
Table 2.2	Dimensions of culture, by region	93
Table 2.3	High and low ratings of dimensions of culture, by region	97
Table 2.4	Synopses of culture, by region	98
Table 2.5	Odds ratios for U.S. regions: FEPLAW, RFRL, ABORTLAW, and TSLAW	99
Table 3.1	Number of states that ratified, did not ratify, and repealed their ratification of the proposed ERA during the 1972–1982 ratification period, by geographic region	149

Larry D. Barnett

# Societal Agents in Law

Quantitative Research

palgrave  
macmillan

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Statistical Aspects of Macrosociological Research on Law</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	<i>Probability, Odds, and the Odds Ratio</i>	2
1.2	<i>Intercept</i>	4
1.3	<i>Statistical Significance</i>	9
1.4	<i>Number of Cases</i>	13
1.5	<i>Excessive Collinearity, Influential Outliers, and Interaction</i>	15
1.6	<i>Model Fit and Parsimony</i>	17
1.7	<i>Macrosociological Theory and Research on Law</i>	18
	<i>Appendix: Event History Analysis</i>	20
<b>2</b>	<b>Juryman, Jurywomen, and Law</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1	<i>Law in the United States and the Sex Attribute</i>	35
2.1.1	<i>Women, State Law, and Eligibility for Jury Service</i>	37
2.1.2	<i>From Hoyt to Taylor</i>	37
2.2	<i>Societal Agents in State Law on Women's Eligibility for Jury Service</i>	39
2.2.1	<i>Dependent Variable</i>	39
2.2.2	<i>Independent Variables and Their Empirical Indicators</i>	43
2.2.3	<i>Data Analysis</i>	53
2.2.4	<i>Summary of Findings</i>	60

2.3	<i>Points to Ponder</i>	61
	<i>Appendix: State Law on Juror Qualifications, Exemptions, and Excusals When the U.S. Supreme Court Decided Hoyt V. Florida (November 20, 1961)</i>	64
3	<b>“Thou Shall Not Commit Adultery”: The Decriminalization of a Marriage-Endorsing Directive</b>	97
3.1	<i>The Macrosociology of Adultery</i>	97
3.1.1	<i>Adultery, Divorce, and Their Toll on Society</i>	98
3.1.2	<i>Prevalence of Adultery in the United States</i>	100
3.2	<i>A Study of Societal Determinants of the Decriminalization of Adultery</i>	103
3.2.1	<i>Design of the Study</i>	104
3.2.2	<i>Data Analysis</i>	114
3.2.3	<i>Model III</i>	118
3.3	<i>Discussion</i>	118
	<i>Appendix: Statutes and Legislation Criminalizing Adultery: State Law in 1955 and in 2015</i>	123
4	<b>Penal Sanctions and Their Societal Setting: When Law Embraces Death</b>	157
4.1	<i>The Eighth Amendment and the Death Penalty</i>	157
4.2	<i>State Death-Penalty Statutes After Furman v. Georgia</i>	158
4.3	<i>Death Penalty Law and Social Science</i>	159
4.4	<i>A Study of Societal Agents in State Death-Penalty Statutes</i>	160
4.4.1	<i>Variables</i>	161
4.4.2	<i>Data Analysis</i>	169
4.4.3	<i>Summary</i>	172
4.5	<i>Discussion</i>	173
5	<b>Race, Interstate Migration, and Employment Discrimination Law</b>	187
5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	187
5.1.1	<i>Law on Race Discrimination</i>	188
5.1.2	<i>Interstate Migration by Blacks: 1910–1940</i>	190
5.2	<i>Sociological Agents in State Law on Race Discrimination in Employment</i>	195



5.2.1	<i>Design of the Study</i>	195
5.2.2	<i>Data Analysis</i>	205
5.2.3	<i>Summary</i>	211
5.3	<i>The Impact of State Law on Race Discrimination in Employment</i>	212
<b>6</b>	<b>Religious Freedom Restoration Law</b>	<b>233</b>
6.1	<i>Religion in the United States and Its Law</i>	233
6.2	<i>Sociological Agents in State Religious Freedom Restoration Law</i>	239
6.2.1	<i>Design of the Study</i>	239
6.2.2	<i>Data Analysis</i>	245
6.2.3	<i>Alternative Models</i>	250
6.3	<i>Societal Fragmentation and Law</i>	253
<b>Index</b>		<b>273</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1	Sex differential in mortality rates among Whites. Source: See note 68	48
Fig. 2.2	Sex ratio in the population. Source: See note 74	49
Fig. 3.1	Adultery in the United States. Source: See notes 33 and 35 and their accompanying text	101
Fig. 5.1	Unemployment among Black men in hypothetical states	213
Fig. 6.1	Number of states adopting RFRA-equivalent law by year	237

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Geographic regions of the United States: continental states	45
Table 2.2	Variables and their empirical measurement	50
Table 2.3	Means and standard deviations of independent variables measured with an interval scale or a ratio scale	54
Table 2.4	Regression models for JURYLAWS: Odds ratios and prediction accuracy	54
Table 2.5	Accuracy rates of models when predicting states on JURYLAWS	60
Table 3.1	Variables and their empirical measurement	113
Table 3.2	Means and standard deviations of independent variables measured with an interval scale or a ratio scale	114
Table 3.3	Regression models for ADULTERY: Odds ratios and prediction accuracy	115
Table 3.4	Accuracy rates of models when predicting states on ADULTERY	117
Table 4.1	Variables and their empirical measurement	168
Table 4.2	Means and standard deviations of independent variables measured with an interval scale or a ratio scale	169
Table 4.3	Regression models for MANDDP: odds ratios and prediction accuracy	169
Table 4.4	Accuracy rates (in %) of models for MANDDP	172
Table 5.1	Interstate migration by Blacks relative to state population, 1910–1940	193
Table 5.2	States with an enforceable fair employment practices statute prior to the federal Civil Rights Act of 1964	197
Table 5.3	Variables and their indicators	201
Table 5.4	Means and standard deviations of independent variables measured with an interval scale or a ratio scale	206

Table 5.5	Regression models for FEPLAW: odds ratios and prediction accuracy	207
Table 5.6	Accuracy rates of predictions of FEPLAW by groups of independent variables in Model II	210
Table 6.1	States with law equivalent to the federal Religious Freedom Restoration Act	235
Table 6.2	Variables, mnemonic labels, and empirical indicators	246
Table 6.3	Means and standard deviations of independent variables measured with an interval scale or a ratio scale	247
Table 6.4	Regression models for RFRL: odds ratios and prediction accuracy	247
Table 6.5	Accuracy rates (in %) of models when predicting whether states adopted RFRA-equivalent law	251