

Sebastian Künzel

Implementing Activation Policies

**An Analysis of Social and Labour Market Policy Reforms in
Continental Europe with a Focus on Local Case Studies
in France and Germany**

Contents

List of figures.....	XV
List of tables.....	XVII
List of abbreviations	XIX
1. Introduction.....	1
2. The challenge of rising numbers of minimum income recipients in Bismarckian-type continental welfare systems.....	21
2.1 The welfare system in open, market-driven and individualised societies.....	23
2.2 Minimum income schemes in the welfare system.....	26
2.2.1 Institutional filter regulation of minimum income schemes.....	27
2.2.1.1 Internal filter regulation of minimum income schemes	27
2.2.1.2 External filter regulation of minimum income schemes	29
2.2.2 Organisational issues of specific institutional filter of minimum income schemes.....	31
2.2.3 National institutional orders of filter arrangements of minimum income schemes.....	33
2.2.4 Conclusion: minimum income schemes as a matter of institutional filter.....	34
2.3 The role of minimum income schemes in the Bismarckian welfare system.....	35
2.3.1 Bismarckian institutional filter regulation of minimum income schemes.....	35
2.3.1.1 Bismarckian welfare systems' external filtering of minimum income programmes.....	35

2.3.1.2	Bismarckian welfare systems' internal filtering of minimum income programmes.....	38
2.3.1.3	The fragmented regulatory logics of Bismarckian filtering institutions	39
2.3.2	Organisational features of the Bismarckian filter of minimum income schemes	40
2.3.2.1	Organisational features of Bismarckian external filtering institutions	40
2.3.2.2	Organisational features of the Bismarckian internal filtering institutions	41
2.3.2.3	The fragmented organisation of Bismarckian filtering institutions	42
2.3.3	Conclusion: Bismarckian filtering institutions of residual minimum income schemes	42
2.4	Dynamics of welfare system change.....	43
2.4.1	Retrenchment.....	44
2.4.2	Re-commodification	45
2.4.3	Recalibration	45
2.5	Development dynamics of minimum income schemes in Bismarckian-type continental welfare systems.....	47
2.5.1	The erosion of the Bismarckian employment model's external filter function	47
2.5.2	The erosion of the Bismarckian family model's external filter function	49
2.5.3	The erosion of the status-based Bismarckian social protection's external filter function	50
2.5.4	The rise of minimum income claimants: a crisis of classical Bismarckian filter institutions.....	52
2.6	Conclusion: recalibration demands of Bismarckian institutions in face of rising numbers of minimum income beneficiaries	55
3.	Activation reforms in Bismarckian-type continental welfare systems.....	59
3.1	Activation into employment as a new concept for welfare systems	61
3.2	Flexicurity as reference point for employment- centred welfare systems.....	63
3.3	Areas of an employment-centred welfare system	65
3.3.1	Flexible labour markets for the activation into employment.....	65
3.3.2	Workfare elements for the activation from benefits into work.....	66
3.3.3	Integrated social protection systems for unemployed	66

3.3.4	Services as enabling elements of activation.....	67
3.3.5	Summarising the components of an employment-centred welfare state	69
3.4	Between European diffusion of 'good' governance and national activation regimes.....	70
3.5	Employment-centred welfare as new filter for minimum income schemes in Bismarckian-type continental welfare systems.....	72
3.5.1	Changes in labour market regulation in continental European welfare states.....	73
3.5.2	Reforms of the Bismarckian benefit system in continental European welfare states.....	76
3.5.3	Work incentives and requirements in continental European welfare states.....	78
3.5.4	Social services in continental European welfare states.....	80
3.5.5	Activation as a new approach to regulate minimum income schemes.....	82
3.6	Conclusion: activation as a new institutional filter of minimum income receipt.....	85
4.	Re-organising minimum income schemes: the local dimension of Bismarckian activation regimes.....	89
4.1	The local level's role in institutionalising employment-centred welfare.....	91
4.1.1	The traditional role of local authorities in continental European welfare systems.....	91
4.1.2	Activation as a matter of the local delivery of services and policies	92
4.1.3	The co-production of services: a matter of institutionalising local organisational fields.....	95
4.1.3.1	Co-producing policies and services of different fields	97
4.1.3.2	Co-producing services and policies from different policy levels.....	99
4.1.3.3	Co-producing services between public, private and third sector providers.....	100
4.1.3.4	Three local challenges of activating minimum income recipients	101
4.1.4	First hypothesis: local organisational fields as requirement to implement the Bismarckian activation regime	101

4.2	Different local governance patterns of employment-centred welfare.....	103
4.2.1	Concepts of individual behaviour underlying the co-production of activation.....	104
4.2.2	The organisation of co-produced policies and services for minimum income recipients.....	107
4.2.2.1	Local institutional conditions for co-produced policies and services	108
4.2.2.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of co-produced policies and services.....	111
4.2.2.3	Local control techniques for the delivery of co-produced policies and services.....	114
4.2.3	Second hypothesis: activation approaches increase variance in local service delivery.....	117
4.3	Conclusion: two hypotheses on a local dimension of activation.....	120
5.	A methodology for comparative empirical studies on the reorganisation of minimum income schemes.....	123
5.1	Exploring the local level's role: elements of a case study approach	124
5.1.1	The strengths of a case study approach: explaining and exploring.....	125
5.1.2	Defining the case, unit, levels and timeframe of analysis.....	126
5.2	Proposing a design for the local study of Bismarckian activation regimes.....	127
5.2.1	Application of various data collection techniques	129
5.2.2	Selection of local cases in two 'critical' Bismarckian countries in continental Europe	129
5.2.3	The theoretical framework: a guide for the exploratory analysis of local mechanisms	131
5.3	Methods and techniques applied for the local case study series	132
5.3.1	Semi-structured expert interviews.....	132
5.3.2	Qualitative content analysis and case study reports	134
5.4	Conclusion: combining local study and welfare state analyses.....	136
6.	The traditional role of minimum income schemes in France and Germany	139

6.1	A circumscribed field: unemployment policy in France and Germany.....	139
6.1.1	Corporatist institutions in social and labour market policy in France and Germany	139
6.1.2	Services and programmes for 'normal' unemployed in France and Germany.....	140
6.1.3	Conclusion: status-oriented unemployment policy fields in France and Germany.....	141
6.2	A field apart: minimum income schemes in France and Germany.....	142
6.2.1	The traditional role of the general minimum income scheme in France	142
6.2.2	The traditional role of the general minimum income scheme in Germany.....	144
6.3	Conclusion: a Bismarckian legacy of fragmented providers, services and policies.....	146
7.	The institutionalisation of employment-centred welfare in Germany.....	149
7.1	Labour market- and social policy reforms in Germany	149
7.1.1	A reformed entitlement structure of the benefit system	149
7.1.2	A new organisation of services and work incentives.....	151
7.1.3	Increased flexibilisation of the German labour market.....	152
7.1.4	The selective focus of a Bismarckian activation regime in Germany.....	153
7.1.5	Conclusion: activation as new filter against minimum income receipt in Germany.....	153
7.2	The re-organisation of service delivery for minimum income recipients in Germany.....	154
7.2.1	An integrated framework for different benefits and services.....	155
7.2.2	The distribution of financing responsibilities for the Jobcenter	156
7.2.3	The organisation of services reacting to work-related and social exclusionary risks.....	156
7.2.4	Instruments for controlling local activities.....	157
7.2.5	Staff of the Jobcenter	158
7.2.6	Decision-making bodies of the Jobcenter	159
7.2.7	Conclusion: an integrated framework for the local activation of benefit recipients.....	160
7.3	The local implementation of activation reforms in Germany.....	161

7.3.1	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority G-A.....	161
7.3.1.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	161
7.3.1.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	162
7.3.1.3	Local control techniques.....	167
7.3.1.4	Towards a bureaucratic activation logic in region G-A.....	169
7.3.2	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority G-B	171
7.3.2.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	171
7.3.2.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	172
7.3.2.3	Local techniques of control.....	178
7.3.2.4	Towards a bureaucratic activation logic in region G-B.....	181
7.3.3	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority G-C.....	182
7.3.3.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	183
7.3.3.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	185
7.3.3.3	Local techniques of control.....	192
7.3.3.4	Towards a participatory logic of activation in region G-C.....	194
7.3.4	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority G-D.....	195
7.3.4.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	195
7.3.4.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	197
7.3.4.3	Local techniques of control.....	203
7.3.4.4	Towards a market-oriented logic of activation in region G-D	204
7.4	Conclusion: varying local activation approaches in Germany	206

8.	The institutionalisation of employment-centred welfare in France.....	217
8.1	Labour market- and social policy reforms in France.....	217
8.1.1	Changes in the French benefit system	217
8.1.2	A reformed regulation of services, incentives and work requirements.....	219
8.1.3	An increased flexibilisation of the French labour market.....	221
8.1.4	The selective focus of a Bismarckian activation regime in France.....	222
8.1.5	Conclusion: activation as new filter against minimum income receipt in France.....	223
8.2	The re-organisation of service delivery for minimum income recipients in France.....	224
8.2.1	A local framework for the delivery of diverse benefits and services.....	226
8.2.1.1	Financing responsibilities	226
8.2.1.2	The organisation of services reacting to work-related and social exclusionary factors	227
8.2.1.3	Social welfare services for minimum income recipients	228
8.2.1.4	Active labour market policy services for minimum income recipients	228
8.2.1.5	The department as social welfare provider in need of external competences.....	230
8.2.2	Coordination and activation through local case management.....	231
8.2.2.1	Coordination and control of access to the different services and programmes.....	231
8.2.2.2	Orientation through case management	232
8.2.2.3	The crucial role of case management in the French system	232
8.2.3	Local coordination bodies.....	233
8.2.4	The organisation of benefit payment	233
8.2.5	Conclusion: a fragmented framework for the local activation of benefit recipients	234
8.3	The local implementation of activation approaches in France.....	235

8.3.1	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority F-A.....	235
8.3.1.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	236
8.3.1.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	238
8.3.1.3	Local techniques of control.....	242
8.3.1.4	Programmatic renewal but organisational continuity: social treatment in local authority F-A	242
8.3.2	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority F-B	244
8.3.2.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	245
8.3.2.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	245
8.3.2.3	Local techniques of control.....	248
8.3.2.4	Continuity of a social treatment approach in local authority F-B.....	249
8.3.3	Local governance of welfare interventions in local authority F-C.....	250
8.3.3.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	251
8.3.3.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	252
8.3.3.3	Local techniques of control.....	260
8.3.3.4	Towards a participatory activation logic in local authority F-C	262
8.3.4	Local governance of the minimum income scheme in local authority F-D.....	263
8.3.4.1	Local institutional conditions for the take-up of services and policies.....	263
8.3.4.2	Local modes of interaction for the delivery of services.....	265
8.3.4.3	Local techniques of control.....	270
8.3.4.4	Towards a bureaucratic activation logic in local authority F-D.....	271
8.4	Conclusion: varying local welfare interventions in France.....	272

9. Conclusion: local coordination of fragmented Bismarckian welfare states	285
Literature	307

List of figures

Fig. 1: Development of the number of minimum income benefit recipients in Germany.....	1
Fig. 2: Development of the number of minimum income benefit recipients in France.....	2
Fig. 3: The institutional filter of minimum income schemes.	26
Fig. 4: The institutional filter of minimum income schemes in the Bismarckian welfare state model.....	37
Fig. 5: Employment rate people age 15–64	73
Fig. 6: Part time workers in percentage of total employment	74
Fig. 7: Full time workers in percentage of total employment	74
Fig. 8: Percentage of employees with temporary contracts	75
Fig. 9: Strictness of employment protection	75
Fig. 10: Labour market services in percentage of the GDP	80
Fig. 11: Employment incentives in percentage of the GDP	81
Fig. 12: Direct job creation in percentage of the GDP	81
Fig. 13: Early retirement in percentage of the GDP.....	82

List of tables

Tab. 1: Continental European filtering institutions of minimum income schemes	83
Tab. 2: Ideal types of the local organisation of welfare interventions.....	119
Tab. 3: Research design.....	136
Tab. 4: Local organisations of welfare interventions in France and Germany	296